MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL
MAYFIELD VILLAGE, OHIO
Monday, October 19, 2026
Mayfield Village Civic Hall-Mayfield Village Civic Center

The Council of Mayfield Village met in Regular Session on Monday, October 19, 2026 in Mayfield Village Civic Hall at the Mayfield Village Civic Center. Council President Schutt called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

Council President Schutt asked, Ms. Lesner, may I have a Roll Call, please?

ROLL CALL: Present: Mr. Gall, Mr. Girbino, Mrs. Jurcisek, Mr. Marquardt, Mr. Murphy and Mr. Schutt

Also Present: Mayor Bodnar, Ms. Rich, Ms. Calta, Chief Carcioppolo, Chief Matias, Mr. Marquart, Ms. Echt (for Mr. McAvinew), Mr. Stupczy, Mr. Russell Ms. Wolgamuth and Ms. Lesner

Absent: Mr. Arndt and Mr. Cappello

Council President Schutt stated, please let the record reflect that Councilman Arndt, Mr. Cappello and Mr. McAvinew are absent and excused from this evening's meeting.

The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was given.

. **Motion to appoint Lisa Lesner as Acting Clerk of Council for the Regular Meeting of Council today, October 19, 2026.**

Mrs. Jurcisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to appoint Lisa Lesner as Acting Clerk of Council for the Regular Meeting of Council today, October 19, 2026.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All Motion Carries
NAYS: None

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES:

. **Regular Council Meeting – September 21, 2026**

Mrs. Jurcisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to approve the Minutes of the Regular Council Meeting of September 21, 2026 as written.

Council President Schutt asked, any discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL:	AYES:	All	Motion Carries
	NAYS:	None	Minutes of September 21, 2026 Meeting Approved as Written

Comments From Mayor Bodnar

Thank you, Council President. I just have one thing to report tonight. Today I heard from Grace Gallucci. She's the Executive Director and CEO of NOACA and she informed me that Mayfield Village and Highland Heights together are on the recommended list to receive $1.9 million in funding from NOACA for a project to repave Wilson Mills. The Board of Directors will be meeting on December 11th to vote on the recommended project. That's all the information I have now. I'll keep you posted. Thank you.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Mayor.

Comments from Council President Schutt

Just a reminder that the Caucus meeting for Monday November 2nd will be held in Reserve Hall as the Board of Elections will be setting up this room for voting on Tuesday November 3rd. Our Regular Meeting of Council is scheduled back in this room on Monday November 16th both at 7:00 p.m. And then, Happy Early Birthday to Mr. John Marquart. His birthday is on November 2nd.

Open Portion – For Public Comment (5-minute limit imposed by Chair)

Council President Schutt stated, the next part of our meeting is the open portion. If you wish to speak, please come up to the podium and state your name and address for the record.

Ara Bagdasarian
6790 Ridgecliff Drive
Solon, Ohio

I have a handout that the Mayor gave me permission to share. Good evening Mayor, members of Council and staff. The reason I'm here is that I've served on the County Board of Development Disabilities previously in my life as a volunteer and as the President. Also my wife and I created a community called Solon Community Living which has 17 individuals with disabilities and four caregivers that we're very lucky to be able to do in the City of Solon. But the reason I'm here to talk about that is that we understand firsthand how services are being provided to folks with disabilities and with the upcoming levy issue I thought it would be important to explain some of the things that may benefit and involve Mayfield Village who is an important partner of the Cuyahoga County Board of DD.

The Cuyahoga County Board of DD basically serves over 15,000 people across the County. More than 40 in Mayfield Village specific. It was last supported by a levy that was passed in 2005, over 20 years ago. I had a lot less gray hair back then. I actually worked on that campaign. It was supposed to last 10 years. It lasted 20 years and now is why we're having to come back to the voters.

So, the DD levy is primarily supported through property tax and some of the things that the County Board does is early intervention services beginning with infants, personal home care, transportation, independent skills like cooking, shopping and more, therapies including occupational, physical therapy, speech and language and housing and employment support, more to allow people with disabilities to live their best lives.

The County Board also makes strategic investments in the community, $1 million to 50 County libraries, arts and culture, recreation and leisure. $6,300.00 was specifically granted last year to Mayfield Village to support programs right here in your Village. Also, over several hundred thousand dollars actually come to residents that live here in Mayfield Village and believe me it makes a major impact on those families that are being served.

As I mentioned, the last levy was in 2005. It lasted 21 years. Because of that the Board has placed a $2.25 million levy on the ballot. It would cost taxpayers an additional $6.52 a month per $100,000 of home value to support our most vulnerable neighbors. My mission today was really to educate people about the levy, why it's needed and who it serves.

With that, you'll see those signs out. At least you now know a little bit more about what Issue 10 is all about. I appreciate your time this evening. Go Guardians.

Keith Filipkowski - Pulte Homes

Good evening. I have handouts if you guys wouldn't mind passing these around. I've given one to John Marquart already. There are seven copies there if you guys wanted to in some cases share potentially if you're not a City Council member. But I appreciate the time to speak to everybody this evening.

Good evening Mayor and City Council. I wanted to just give you guys the benefit of background on tonight's agenda regards the rezoning requests for the Campus 3 Progressive project. I'm here as a representative for the Company that's under contract with Progressive for that site.

So I did want to just give you again the benefit of background for that project so you have a little better understanding of what we're looking to do there. So if the presentations are passed out and you guys will allow me five or so minutes, I'd love to go through that with you. But just to start and acclimate you guys with who Pulte Homes is. We've been in business for over 75 years now. We're founded in Michigan. Our current corporate headquarters is in Atlanta. We've got divisions all over the Country. We've got a local office here in Medina. We control about a quarter million lots across the Country currently. We will sell and close about 30,000 homes a year. And so we're

fully established across the country obviously. In Cleveland we've been in business since 1992, so about 34 years. I've been with Pulte for 22 of them. 7,000 plus homes built in Cleveland. 2,500 lots developed in the past five years. We've invested over a billion dollars into the Northeast Ohio market over the past 10 years. That presentation gives you a geographical footprint of where we're located. Anywhere from Avon Lake to Mentor to Green and everywhere in between. We operate in eight Counties.

With respect to the zoning consideration, our objective is simply to establish a clear mutually supportive path for the property's future. We'd like to work with you guys to do that. The mission of collaboratively working with City Council staff and the community to align the future use and development of the property is the mission we have at hand here.

As you continue to flip through the presentation, just outlining for you confirming that the project site location is off of the Highland Road and SOM Center intersection, Campus 3. It's about 56.57 acres in total and that rests within Ward 1 of the zoning districts.

It is currently zoned for Office/Laboratory and Production Distribution. The Progressive plans were a large-scale corporate office operations, obviously. As John put it at the last Council meeting when he introduced this topic, that property has sat vacant and dormant for 20 years. The current use examples will be things like large office buildings, testing laboratories, commercial applications, things of that sort. We'd like to rezone it to be a Planned Residential Development District, PRDD, with the intention of providing for single-family home, detached homes, as well as townhouses.

From a professional builder developer's perspective, this site for us is really one of a kind. The thing about this property is it's surrounded by all the amenities that are already built in, so we think it's a natural setting for us to provide an opportunity to connect the community. I just laid out on the map some of those geographic considerations, so you can see that we're taking those into account. I think it truly is a perfect recipe for a residential application. It serves as a good transition from office space and again connects with all the surrounding amenities.

I put together some aerial views in your packet just so you can kind of see those considerations and how the lay of the land may work with our community and how we're trying to program it, at least with this preliminary concept design. So I've got one view there looking north and one to the south.

If you go beyond those pages, I've got a two-dimensional look at what we've conceptualized so far. Just a few key bullet points there. We're essentially looking at currently 115 townhomes and 20 single-family detached homes for a total of 135 units on the plan. I'll remind the group that with PRDD zoning at four units per acre, it would be allowable to have 226 homes. So we're really asking significantly less than that in terms of density. It's really about 2.39 units per acre versus the allowable four units per acre.
Also, I think noteworthy is the fact that 43% of the land would remain undeveloped. We would develop about 32 of the 57 acres and leave the 24 ½ remaining as undeveloped or preserved, if

you will. Within that, there are a significant amount of preservation areas required in the community in terms of wetland considerations. With the plan we're setting forth here, we'd be preserving approximately 70% of that.

And then really quickly, as you flip back through the booklet here, there is one correction on this packet. This page discusses the square footages related to our house products. With the single-family home products listed on the right-hand side, the square footages would range between 1,600 and 2,400 square foot approximately. And the townhomes will be anywhere from 1,200/1,300 square feet to roughly 2,200 square feet. And then I put some architectural concepts and streetscapes behind that just so you can get a sense of what the product might look like.

Beyond that, I just wanted to explain that in terms of standing behind our product, we've got one of the best warranties in the industry. One-year parts and workmanship material, two-year mechanical, electrical, plumbing, five-year water infiltration, and 10-year structure. There really isn't a builder in the market or in the nation that could probably beat that warranty.

We also have our own Pulte mortgage, which has a variety of offerings that allow us to weather the storm, if you will, in down conditions. Currently, we exercise the ability to buy down mortgage rates in bulk, so it's very helpful in terms of interest rates and making homes affordable, which I know is something key to the project at hand.

Sorry to have rushed through that, but I wanted to honor the 5 minutes. I would have otherwise taken the time to explain everything to you. And if you guys want to ask me any questions, I'm here to do that either now or later.

There were no questions.

Mr. Filipkowski stated, okay. Other than that, we're super excited about the opportunity and prospect of being able to build in Mayfield Village so we hope you guys will refer this to Planning and Zoning next.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you.

Charmaine Rudy
1070 Washington

I'm still advocating. Everything I say makes sense. You can't understand, and I can't understand why not. To start by comparison of everybody else here in my speech, I put in a new storm drain. My water might be inappropriate. I'm responsible. Part one. Officials from Mayfield show me that one of the houses above has a storm drain. A pipe goes to the street. You can see it through the lot to the grade. So I said, I'll go check out the neighbor above me that is really flooding my property far more than anybody else. Sure enough, there's no grade. There's no pipe. There's nothing there, just road. So I was right.

Someone in the past decided to use my property as a free drain. It didn't work out. I'd say 50% of the topsoil on the lot is gone, plus 50% of the trees that used to live in the topsoil, their roots, plus oversaturated ground structures. I thought it had been an oversight and that Mayfield would fix it or make my neighbor fix it. Surprisingly, Mayfield and the other uphill residents would stand by and let me put in this well to collect at the very minimum all the water from one of the above properties, 90-foot downhill driveway, all the water from a 90-foot downhill line trench which brings the water down. It seems to be like 100 miles an hour, plus all the water from a huge house.

I know one law in Ohio, the person who generates stormwater is responsible for it. It's really not me, the neighbor lady. And besides, normally the town, at least only thing I ever heard is towns that get paid the taxes, they do something, you know. So everything I just said makes sense, but I just can't see why you don't understand.

Okay, part two, across the stream. There's a huge basin for stormwater. A lot is piped in, said the man who did my study, and he showed me the pipes on his diagram. It's easy to find the structures on Google Earth. The other official from NEORSD said that piping water is from the local area. Mayfield meant well by trying to handle the water, but I bet you noticed by now that you're losing almost an entire forest from Mayfield Village, and Highland Heights isn't far behind. It's just scattered trees.

Next, that same water comes through my property, of course, to the stream. It washes everything away, has killed the trees, destabilizes the bays. I pay taxes every month, about $200 for that particular area, and it's disturbing to see that every month it looks worse than the last month. So I have a plan for Mayfield. Instead of using my lot without permission, design a way to do it without damage. Then I'll give you an easement. On SOM, you built this really nice basin with a cement path for water. It looks nice. It protects the land. I bet there's way more water down where I live, so how about install one of those nice things, or at least a ditch, something to protect my property? Don't just keep abusing it and using it. So if you have to, at least protect it. My property counts as much as anybody here or anybody else in Mayfield, and thank you for letting me speak again.

Spencer Pisczak- Premier Development Partners

Good evening. I'm Spencer Pisczak with Premier Development Partners speaking on behalf of the redevelopment of the Progressive Campus with my friend and business partner, George Simon, and we appreciate you considering the initial draft of a retail overlay. The campus currently consists of about 40 acres, three buildings, 640,000 feet. If they were to build it today, they wouldn't build it that way. The original building was built in 1974, and the other two buildings came about 30 years later.
So I think the direction that both the Village and our team have been working on is the correct direction for the community and the other business owners in Mayfield Village, and I can answer any questions now or during the First Reading. Short and simple. Thank you.

Council President Schutt asked, are there any other comments this evening?

There were none.

Department Head Reports:

Diane Wolgamuth (Administration) - Thank you Council President. Reporting for Citizens Advisory. The Committee will be meeting next Monday, but at the Historical House, not in this building. John Marquart is going to attend the meeting to do an economic development update, and that will include kind of an overview of the two zoning issues that Council has on their agenda today.

Council President Schutt asked, are there any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Ms. Wolgamuth.

Dan Russell (Building) – Thank you, Council President. I'll be reporting on two ARB meetings tonight. The first one is the September 24th meeting, which we received one application for a temporary sign at 6300 Wilson Mills, and that was approved. And the second meeting was on October 8th, and we received one application for a detached garage, and that was also approved. If I can answer any questions, that's all I have.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated thank you, Mr. Russell. Are there any other Department Head reports this evening?

There were none.

OLD BUSINESS

- **Second Reading of Ordinance 2026-16**, entitled, "An ordinance amending Mayfield Village Codified Ordinance Section 505.99 Animals and Fowl." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (First Reading – September 21, 2026) (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor).

Council President Schutt asked, are there any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, Ordinance 2026-16 will move to Third Read at the Regular Meeting of Council on November 16th.

- **Second Reading of Ordinance 2026-17**, entitled, "An ordinance amending Mayfield Village Codified Ordinance Chapter 1501 Ohio Fire Code." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (First Reading – September 21, 2026; Revised October 19, 2026) (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor).

Council President Schutt stated, it appears that Ordinance 2026-17 has been revised. I'll refer to Ms. Calta.

Ms. Calta stated, yes, thank you, Mr. President, and the Fire Chief can also chime in because I worked on this with him. The first draft was intended to address the fees and kind of two-fold, increasing those fees, clarifying when they're imposed, and also making them consistent with I think it's 1501.23. The fees are mentioned in two places in the Code. So we did that, and then as we got into talking about it a little bit more, there were some provisions in here talking about when follow-up re-inspections were to occur and compliance needed to be achieved. And it was tied to a 30-day period, wherein the Fire Chief advised me that sometimes, depending upon the issues at hand and the violations and the non-compliance, you may have a situation where something has to be corrected sooner than 30 days. So you may have a follow-up inspection faster than that 30-day period.

So what we did is we went into each Section and identified that and said that compliance can be required earlier as determined by the Fire Chief or the Fire Safety Inspector upon their inspection to verify compliance. So the fees stayed the same, but we added this other part in here so that there's no confusion as to when those re-inspections would be necessary. It's not tied to 30 days, it's tied to the violation notice and what it says and how it has to be corrected and the time period it has to be corrected in, if that makes sense.

So this is just Second Reading. If anybody wants to monkey with the language anymore, just let us know. But I think we're there. I think we're there to make all of the changes consistent and all of the Sections have the same fee structure.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

Mr. Girbino asked, just one question for the Chief. Are you good with the final language?

Chief Carcioppolo replied, yes. I was just mainly concerned that if; it's been on the books but it hasn't been enforced and then in looking at the application of enforcing it, how it was written before, I didn't want this to confuse people and say, well, I have 30 days to fix it if it was something more severe than the minimum Fire Code violation. So I worked it out with Diane so that we can address more severe violations in a faster time period.

Mr. Girbino stated, thank you.

Chief Carcioppolo stated, that's why it changed because I don't want someone to say, well, I have 30 days to fix this, but if it's considered a serious Fire Code violation, we need to address it now. Like if the fire alarm or sprinkler system broke in the hotel, you need to get it fixed sooner than 30 days if possible.

Mr. Girbino stated, I understand. Thank you.

Council President Schutt stated, makes sense. Are there any other questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you Ms. Calta and Chief Carcioppolo. Ordinance 2026-17 will move to Second Read at the Regular Meeting of Council on November 16th.

NEW BUSINESS

- **First Reading of Ordinance No. 2026-18,** entitled, "An Ordinance enacting Chapter 1174 entitled 6300 Wilson Mills Road Re-Utilization Overlay District and Revising the Zone Map of Mayfield Village, Ohio." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will be forwarded to the Cuyahoga County Board of Elections for the May 2027 ballot).

Council President Schutt stated, per our Charter, any revision to the Zone Map of Mayfield Village must be referred to the Planning and Zoning Commission for review and recommendation. May I have a motion to refer Ordinance 2026-18?

Mr. Gall asked, can we comment?

Council President Schutt stated, well, it would be on the discussion piece.

- **Motion to refer Ordinance No. 2026-18 to the Planning & Zoning Commission for review and recommendation.**

Mrs. Jurcisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to refer Ordinance No. 2026-18 to the Planning & Zoning Commission for review and recommendation.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, if we're talking about the Ordinance in general, I do have a couple questions for clarification. The accessory data center, based on what was stated here, that is just applicable to the individual location. Is that correct?

Mr. Marquart replied, yes, Councilwoman. The way we split the definitions of data centers would be to permit one for an office user that happens to move into that property. In other words, what

began here at Village Hall as a data closet, obviously for a large office user does get larger. But what we don't want to see is the million square footers that you see basically in Central Ohio. We're going to permit a large office user to have those functions on site.

Mr. Gall asked, will it be restricted to that unit or could satellites of that business still use that data center? In other words, if I've got satellites all around the country, this little data center here could be supporting all those satellites.

Mr. Marquart replied, I might defer to the Law Director on this, Councilman, but I don't think we've contemplated that. What we've stated is it can only occupy so much of your square footage. But I don't believe we contemplated a national company, for example, that made, for lack of a better word, pipe in data or pipe out data. Diane, do you have anything to add to that one perhaps?

Ms. Calta replied, no, I would agree with you. We wanted to tie it to the site as an accessory use to the primary user on site. So that's where we put in the site's primary user operates a data center for its own data and business purposes. Now I see what you're saying, Mr. Gall, that could lend itself to satellite offices, but the intended drafting was meant to be for on-site primary usage.

Mr. Gall stated, right, but it still comes back to it could be a data center. Everybody could pipe in. And yes, they own the 50,000 square foot or the 10,000 square foot of aggregate that they talk about, and it could be a data center for all the satellites.

Ms. Calta replied, it could, but it's still only going to be—

Mr. Gall stated, 25% of the—

Ms. Calta stated, it's going to be tied to that primary user.

Mr. Gall stated, right.

Ms. Calta stated, so it has to be for that primary use and it's supposed to be dedicated to the use on site. So we could add some language that we would say, I guess, to clarify that so that it's not for off-site usage or satellite. We're not talking about satellites up in space?

Mr. Gall stated, no.

Ms. Calta stated, we're talking about offices around the country or around the world. We could certainly consider talking about –

Mr. Marquart stated, I do think that's something where we could probably tighten up the definition of accessory.

Mr. Murphy asked, is 25% reasonable? It seems like a lot. I don't know anything about data centers. I mean, a quarter of your floor being computers? Just throwing it out there.

Mr. Gall asked, and they would still be restricted to 10,000 square feet?

Mr. Marquart replied, if it were a 40,000 square foot user, correct.

Mr. Gall asked, but I thought everything here was restricted to 10,000 square feet?

Mr. Marquart replied, no, Councilman, that's later on, that's for a retail operation.

Mr. Gall asked, so how big could a commercial business in there be?

Mr. Marquart replied, the space is currently 640,000 square feet. If by some miracle a 600,000 square foot user came to us tomorrow, they can occupy it all.

Mr. Gall asked, they can have 125,000 square feet?

Mr. Marquart replied, and if that were to happen, they could have up to 125,000

Council President Schutt replied, not a data center. They would still have to fall within the parameters.

Mr. Gall stated, 25% of their workspace. 125,000 square feet of data center. That's a lot of data center.

Council President Schutt stated, they would have to do a lot of other infrastructure work though to the property for it to be operated as a data center. It's not currently set up as one today.

Mr. Gall stated, right. But again that would affect that property. Because you watch Meta and Meta says, we're just going to fill two swimming pools. I may not tell it to.

Ms. Calta stated, if I can jump in. We still have a moratorium in place on data centers. We're still working on discussing that. We've talked about having a presentation to the Planning Commission about data centers coming in that we'd also share with Council if Council is so inclined to talk about it. But data centers of that nature and of that magnitude, I don't think you would have that large of a scale data center that just supports the operations on site. If you have 100,000 square feet of a data center, then you're supporting your satellite. You're supporting your international. You're supporting something else. So that's where we would limit it to being on site. There is a business in town that has a data center on site. It has a power source to it. It's been there for years and it's supported those operations and it's never been a question. In our minds what we were hoping to draft here is something similar. And I think if we tweak the language on the front end, so the accessory is cut to the site operations, then even if you had 600,000 square feet with 25 percent, you wouldn't be doing that just for your on-site operations. Now we can also talk about some more limits in there. Like a total square footage.

Mayor Bodnar stated, 25% or so many square feet.

Council President Schutt stated, yes, or a maximum. Sure, we could do something like that. Because even on that 600,000, you're talking 150,000. That is not built for that. I mean, you're talking $50 million dollars, if not more, to then convert to have that with generators, the water supply, all the equipment that would take to convert that to a data center. Financially, I don't think it would make any sense to spend that kind of money, in my opinion. But that's also my opinion.

Mr. Gall stated, I think there's people out there who would do it though.

Council President Schutt stated, probably not for 150,000 square feet. Also, it's not set up. Plus, you don't typically have data centers on multiple floors. You've got a footprint though. You've got to remember those buildings are five stories high.

Mr. Gall stated, but there's no guarantee it's going to be in that building. They can tear those buildings down. There's nothing in here that says they can't demolish those buildings. There's nothing in here that says how many roads are going to be built. I have lots of questions on this whole thing. I mean, I understand the overlay of Beta, because I knew where all the businesses were. Everything was already laid out. Here, it's a blank slate. If they can tear the building down, they'll tear it down. How many more streets are they going to put in there? How many restaurants? Like I said, I've got a list of questions that I've highlighted through here, and it's easier for me if it was planned in place and shows, okay, we're going to put streets in, we're going to put what we think is development here, here, and here, and then we're going to overlay for restaurants, you know, retail space. How many businesses are going in there?

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, yes, I do have questions as well as far as the number of eating places, the concern with drive-thrus, because we've prohibited drive-throughs already in Mayfield Village.

Mr. Gall stated, and this says one drive-through. Just one. Is there only going to be one?

Ms. Calta replied, one.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, and I'm concerned because of the surrounding communities and some of the establishments with some of the restaurants or drive-through restaurants, and the issues that have been had later on in the evenings or after dinner hours, that is going to garner extra resources of Police and/or Fire. I have questions as far as how eating places is defined and how many of them.

Mr. Gall stated, and you can have a food hall besides eating places.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, yes. I have some concerns.

Mr. Girbino stated, I'm really curious about that too and how that would work, a food hall, which is what, a food court? I'm very curious as to whether or not there's any real intent of tearing any of those buildings down.

Mr. Pisczak stated, I apologize, I meant to hand these out.

Mr. Murphy asked, I mean, for data centers, how is Mayfield Village going to know that a company is only housing their own operations in the data center and not all around the country or all around the world? I don't think we're going to be able to tell that.

Ms. Calta stated, it's about the size. If it's within certain parameters, it's just not feasible.

Mr. Murphy stated, sorry, I want to close the loop on the data center thing. We're not going to know if companies are housing them. That's the intent of it, but I don't think we're going to know. So I think one way to limit that is based on the square footage, which, is 25% reasonable enough?

Mr. Pisczak stated, so to answer the question about any of the buildings being demolished, there are zero plans for the East Building and West Building, those are the most modern and beautiful facilities inside and out. There is the potential to demo the North Building, which was built in 1974. It really doesn't match the other two from an exterior perspective, and the interior with a designated Class C-minus space in its current condition, it just needs a complete overhaul redo. Having said that, we do have somebody interested in the entire building. So we'll see how this plays out. They're interested in that building and they're also interested in the East Building, and they've asked us the price of a long-term lease with both opportunities. So we're in the process of doing that and we will see how that unfolds. So that's the demolition question.

With regard to the data center question, the smaller the company, the more the 25% means something. So to somebody's point where if somebody moved into 600,000 feet, they're not going to need 150,000 feet of a data center, and that's based on 45 years' experience. We've built, bought and developed over 15 million square feet in Northeast Ohio, not counting other States, so we have a lot of experience with industrial product. But the smaller the space gets, the more that 25% means something and that's generally like a 40,000-foot user or 50,000-foot user.

Mr. Marquart stated, if I may add to the data center conversation a bit, you'll notice under prohibited uses, we've also included electrical substations as being prohibited. The reason for that was to sort of preclude a massive data center, the kind that we see in these political commercials because they simply can't operate without that kind of power coming in that currently is not on-site.

Mr. Gall asked, but could they generate that power on-site?

Mr. Marquart replied, I do know some data centers are starting that now.

Mr. Piczsak stated, we're talking a lot of different companies.

Mr. Gall asked, and then how are they going to weave the hotels into the space?

Mr. Pisczak stated, oh, that would be on the northern; if the 110,000-foot building comes down, that would be an allocation, generally speaking.

Mr. Gall stated, and then you're going to have restaurants, but you're not going to have any bars.

Mr. Pisczak replied, no.

Mr. Gall asked, so you're not going to have anything like a Panera with a restaurant and a bar?

Council President Schutt stated, or Yours Truly.

Mr. Piczsak stated, I think we would have one restaurant.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, I am against the drive-through with the issues that the surrounding communities have had and some of the problems with some of the establishments. I am concerned about the access back there. Granted, we don't know. The streets are going to be back there, but everything is could be set back. And then the extra strain and resources needed for Police and Fire, potentially, for all of this that might be going in. But I am concerned with what this might foster in the community, some of this.

Mr. Pisczak stated, we're looking at higher-end quality institutions.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, right, but we're saying that it's permitted open until 10 p.m. I do have some concerns.

Mr. Pisczak stated, the vast majority of freestanding restaurants do have a drive-through.

Mr. Murphy stated, not in Mayfield Village.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, no.

Mayor Bodnar stated, if I could ask, Mrs. Jurcisek, and if I'm wrong, I'll own it, but in my recollection of issues that have occurred in other communities, I don't recall any that have been related to a drive-through. Are you saying that because it's more likely to be fast food that it's a drive-through?

Mrs. Jurcisek replied, right, there's fast food. It can foster a gathering place for after hours. I mean, there has been issues in the surrounding communities, whether it's a drive-through individually or if it's an establishment that can be considered fast food that doesn't have a drive-through. I mean, there has been concern with some of these places that are open later and juveniles or individuals gathering. That is a concern.

Mayor Bodnar stated, so this is going to be within the property. There's going to be no other curbcuts on Wilson Mills Road.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, right, but what's prohibiting someone from driving back there, right? Anyone can drive back there.

Mayor Bodnar stated, anyone can drive back there, but I don't think it would become more attractive because there's a drive-through connected with it, and we're thinking a lot about can we get a good restaurant in there? And as Spencer pointed out, most restaurants do have that availability. I don't think you're going to get a McDonald's or anything like that back in that area because it's off the beaten path. It's not going to have the prominence that people want, and it's not going to have the traffic, and it's going to be the only thing there. It's not like there's eight restaurants you could choose from, three gas stations, and other stuff going on. It's just going to be kind of by itself.

Mr. Girbino stated, Mayor, I'm trying to envision the type of restaurant you're referring to, though, that would have a drive-in, like a sit-down nice restaurant with a drive-through. I mean, the only ones that are coming to my mind are fast food type restaurants.

Mrs. Jurcisek replied, I agree.

Mr. Pisczak stated, that's what I said. The vast majority of new restaurants have a drive-through.

Mr. Girbino asked, can you cite some examples? Because I'm really not coming up with anything in my mind. Can you cite some examples?

Mr. Pisczak replied, McDonald's, Burger King.

Mr. Girbino stated, those are fast food restaurants.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, that's what we don't want.

Mr. Gall stated, and the drive-through would have to be closed by 10.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, right.

Mr. Gall stated, and you are going to have a light issue. Then it talks here about stacking. I mean, you look at Golden Gate and what Chick-fil-A goes through, and look at Dunkin' Donuts on Mayfield, it's stacked out onto Mayfield Road.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, correct.

Mr. Gall stated, I mean, they should get a ticket, because they're blocking lanes trying to get in to get a coffee and a donut.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, and I'm worried if it's set back, and I, by no means do I want to speak for Fire and Police at no stretch of the means, but to me, if you can have teenagers or juveniles going back and potentially hiding from a main road right there, they might do it.

Mr. Murphy stated, drive-throughs have come up just recently, and everyone kind of poo-pooed it. I don't know why it's coming up to allow one drive-through.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, right.

Mr. Girbino stated, and I can't see that not having a drive-through would be a deal breaker. I mean, why is it so important to have one restaurant with a drive-through if we're going to allow other eating establishments that are accessory to that?

Mr. Marquart stated, Councilman, I can address that hopefully. A couple of things to note. One of the types of brands that we're maybe considering for this site would be Panera who will not have a location without a drive-through, and what we saw just across the street is actually a Panera that failed partially because it didn't have one. So what these brands are seeing is, yeah, we have a sit-down place -

Mr. Girbino stated, I'm sorry. I don't mean to interrupt. Do you mean the one that failed, the one at Highland Heights on Alpha, is that the one you were talking about?

Mr. Marquart replied, that also failed because Progressive started working from home, I understand that. But what I will say is, we've talked to Panera's reps and they won't even consider a location without one. Reason being, even if it's not 30 cars stacked, they want the ability for folks on their mobile app to be able to pick up there. And again, I'm just throwing that out as one potential brand. The other issue I would note with the stacking is, if you read paragraph O in that section, we're going to require a separate stacking lane and escape lane so if a customer gets discouraged by the wait, he has an opportunity to get out. We also require that no drive-through occur within 800 feet of Wilson Mills, which is quite a long way. So any stacking that would occur would be back in the site, and for Councilman Gall's point, not back up onto Wilson Mills like we see elsewhere.

Mr. Girbino asked, so when you talk about being back into the site, keeping in mind how close the property is to the Worton Park property on the west side, in your mind, where would this drive-through be? Would it be closer on the 271 side, on the east side?

Mr. Marquart stated, it would. As Mr. Pisczak had mentioned, the only real opportunities for much new construction on the site would be along the east and north. So, again, read through the Chapter. We've taken the Worton Park neighborhood to heart as we do this exercise. We don't want to encroach upon them. We don't want to get any nearer than anything ever was. So I think the only opportunity for this, again, considering sort of the topographical challenges back there as well, there's a ravine coming through the site, there's no real opportunity to do this sort of thing except where the north building currently stands, which may or may not happen, or just to the north of

that where there's currently a large parking field. And again, similar to sort of the desire to not encroach upon the Worton Park, we don't want to encroach upon Wilson Mills either. So we're requiring quite a large setback toward Wilson Mills. So you're not going to see many boxes right up on the sidewalk.

Mr. Gall asked, but they're going to put the hotel there. That's what you just said.

Mr. Marquart replied, potentially.

Mr. Gall asked, so then where are you putting the driveway?

Mr. Marquart stated, I think the other thing to note, it's important to remember that this legislation does not require Mr. Pisczak to build a hotel or a restaurant. It may permit it if one were to come down the pike, but it doesn't require it.

Mr. Gall stated, but this doesn't prevent him from building five restaurants and three hotels.

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, it does have restrictions. It has restrictions on retail, a total of 50,000 square feet. And each retail location can only have 10 maximum. There are a lot of restrictions.

Mr. Gall asked, so there's a maximum of 50,000 square feet on all that acreage?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, on all that acreage. And the other thing I think is important to note on the area regulation section, currently the Progressive buildings occupy 58.3% of the property. We're proposing in here that that only increase to 60%. So the coverage on the property is not going to change. So 40% is going to stay green space as it is now. So there's not going to be streets and buildings everywhere.

Mr. Gall stated, so if you've got 58% in there now, you're going to add 2%?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, yes.

Mr. Gall asked, of square footage?

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, potentially, yes. So if they tear down the north building, they can replace it. I mean, that's how we're trying to keep the property similar to what it is now.

Mr. Gall asked, now, is that square footage in a footprint or is that a vertical square footage?

Mr. Marquart replied, it's footprint.

Mr. Gall asked, so you could have vertical. It could be 45 feet tall. Four and a half stories tall.

Mr. Marquart replied, correct.

Mr. Gall asked, and you're only taking up a footprint? So you're still getting vertical. You can put restaurants on top of restaurants.

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, but you're still limited to the 50,000 square foot total.

Mr. Pisczak stated, you're not going to have two, three, four, five story restaurants.

Mr. Gall stated, okay, so you're only going to be adding 2% to that area.

Council President Schutt stated, so basically, they would increase the square footage of that first floor slightly, but then they could go up.

Mr. Pisczak replied, potentially.

Mayor Bodnar stated, so a couple of final comments I wanted to make is one, the zoning needs to precede the development that's going in there, because you have people interested and you can't say, well, we'll do this. We already have people interested. We need to move forward on this. This property first went on the market in, what's the date on that? In 2020. After that, Progressive vacated. It was put on the market, and I think the initial asking price was, John?

Mr. Marquart replied, you're testing my memory Mayor. I think it was $35 million initially.

Mayor Bodnar stated, and so it just recently sold at auction for $6.75 million. We had a lot of people come to us. They wanted to put things, all different kinds of big box stores, car dealerships, things that would not benefit the Village. Now we have experienced ownership on this property, and we have to play ball a little bit. We're talking a lot about the uses of this property, and basically we're agreeing to them, and hopefully they'll serve the primary use of this property. But that's our main focus here. We need to get jobs in there, get some taxes, and that'll enable us to afford the things we enjoy. So I think we have to look at this seriously. Obviously, it's going to the Planning Commission and there's plenty of ways we can tweak it. You've raised your concerns, and we can make some changes along the way. But I think it's important that this moves forward and ultimately gets to the voters for their choice. That's all. Thank you.

Mr. Marquart stated, Council President, if I may add one last item. As the Mayor said, it's important to note that the consortium of buyers for this property have made it very clear their first priority is to lease this as an office campus, which provides a density of jobs that we haven't seen in six years now. That's first and foremost. I feel like we're perhaps getting overly hung up on some of the accessory uses. Those are designed largely to support large office users. They will be open to the public. But again, one of the Council people, I can't remember who, mentioned that we're throwing a food hall in there perhaps. That is simply kind of almost a re-creation of the service that Progressive had once upon a time. We've got a large office building with hundreds and hundreds of employees each day. A portion of the ground floor may be devoted to a multi-tenant food outfit, which is very similar to what was there previously.

Again, as the Mayor mentioned, we have a very capable team owning the property now. We do need to assist them as best we can to, again, bring revenue-producing jobs onto the site. And believe me, at the staff level, we've taken a lot of this into consideration. We've taken the traffic issues into consideration. We've taken a proximity to Ward 4 into consideration too. So, a lot of very important factors that we've addressed, I think, as best we could. And again, lots of opportunity for public comment as we move forward, if this can be referred to Planning Commission at which point the public will be invited to chime in as well. So thank you for your consideration.

Mr. Pisczak stated, I do want to add a couple comments on the office site. The Developer Diversified Building we built on Richmond Road in Beachwood, it's in that packet that you passed around. They have a food vendor in that building that services all three facilities. That's the same concept that we're looking to do. We want to express and project our confidence in being able to fill these buildings. We bought the Topp's headquarters building which was empty for seven years. We found a user for that project. The State of Ohio, worked with them for two and a half years to get the project approved. We bought two of the three Highland software buildings in Westlake in November of 2024. We immediately found somebody for the smaller building, which was 70,000 feet. The past January, we signed 130,000 foot lease long-term with Nordson Corporation. We bought a building in Boston Heights. Every building we built or bought is fully occupied. This is going to be a challenge because of the size of 640,000 feet. It's not going to be easy because of all the space the Cleveland Clinic put on the market as well as Progressive prior to this campus being sold. But we have some good prospects and a couple with some long-term relationships that we have.

Council President Schutt stated, Ms. Calta?

Ms. Calta stated, if I could just, for the benefit of everyone in the room, I think Council and the Administration is pretty familiar with the process, but this is a process and this is the first step in the process that this Ordinance will go through. The same process will apply to the next Ordinance that comes up. So just to reiterate, the first, there's a First Reading, which would be tonight on both of these Ordinances. And then they're referred over to the Planning Commission for Planning Commission review and recommendation at a Planning Commission meeting. And then it comes back to Council for a Second Reading at another meeting, presumably sometime later this year in December. Thereafter, there has to be a public hearing set. So then we'll have a public hearing that we'll discuss and invite everyone from the public to make comments on the Ordinances. And then after that, on a Third meeting of Council, which could end up being months down the road, probably in February, we need to do that, have a Third Reading. And then it gets referred to the Board of Elections and it gets placed on the ballot in May. So it's a process that we go through that tries to invite comments and review and recommendation and amendment through that whole process. We're in October, and this is going to go through probably February.

Mayor Bodnar asked, can you respond a little bit and tell us how and when we can make changes to this? Because Council has brought up a lot of valid points. So we have to do some tightening. We have to do some changing. So we take it to Planning Commission as is and then tell Planning

Commission, this is what we talked about and this is where the concerns are with the need to make some changes.

Ms. Calta replied, that's what I would recommend. Based upon the Minutes from tonight and the questions, the concerns, the recommendations, we would bring it to Planning Commission with those items to say, the reference to Mr. Gall about the data center and the satellite offices, how do we address that? And then we, as the Law Department, can opine on some amended language that then ultimately can be achieved with the Planning Commission that they would then make a referral on that back to Council.

Council can still make changes when it gets back. We have a little bit of a nuance that when we make amendments to an Ordinance between the Second and the Third Reading, we have to then read it again on a Second Reading. There's a couple of things procedurally that we can do on that aside from having another meeting and another read. We could make changes now. The Planning Commission meeting that we will have will be on November 19th. Is that after the Council meeting?

Council President Schutt replied, yes, it's after Council.

Ms. Calta stated, so we could conceivably come back with some amended language to Council before it goes to Planning Commission. We would probably want to make sure that we have that well in advance so that Council can have it and Planning can have it before they meet. But we could do it that way. Again, there could be changes made at Planning. There can be changes made at the Second Reading stage. It's just that when we make them after the Second Reading, we have to be aware that we have to read it again on Second Read. It could still be done. It's just we're going to have to read it again.

Council President Schutt stated, and then I'll just state one other thing. As it was mentioned earlier, the buildings were primarily or a majority vacated in March of 2020 and were completely vacated in 2024. And by no means am I saying Premier's not maintaining them, but if they don't have occupants for these buildings sooner rather than later, the annual cost to operate these buildings with no income isn't doing anybody any good. And leaving 640,000 square feet of office space vacant within Mayfield Village isn't doing anybody in this room good either. So in my opinion, we have to consider all these types of things as well and make some modifications and move forward. In my opinion, on what's best for them, for Mayfield Village, for our residents. They're literally sitting there for six years with minimal use and no use in the last two years. And we've seen what has happened with vacant buildings in Cleveland and other cities as well. And by no means am I saying that they're doing that, but at some point in time, we have to make a decision of some sort.

Mr. Gall stated, Council President Schutt, I don't mind office. I'm just not a fan of the retail.

Council President Schutt stated, sure, and even then though, we have a maximum of 50,000 square feet of total retail of 640,000 square feet of office space. So if you're doing the math on that, that's 7%.

Mr. Gall stated, but they can only put in 2% more.

Mr. Pisczak stated, that's part of the 50,000.

Council President Schutt stated, so if you have 640,000 square feet and you're adding on that, even if you call it 650,000, the total retail can only be 50,000 square feet. Total. Of all combined.

Mr. Pisczak stated, to the south coincidentally, is Pinecrest which has 42 acres. Same footprint. I can't tell you how much retail they have there. It's well over 100,000 feet, if not 200,000 feet.

Mr. Gall stated, and it's a disaster. You go there and it's a disaster. Finding parking and getting around.

Mr. Pisczak stated, part of it is. That's why we're not asking for that type of a development. We're asking for something that's going to be successful for the tenants that's going to occupy it as well as for the community members that can also use the services.

Council President Schutt asked, any other comments?

There were none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All Motion Carries
NAYS: None

- **First Reading of Ordinance No. 2026-19,** entitled, "An Ordinance revising the zone map so as to amend the zoning classification of 56.5757 acres of land located at 520 SOM Center Road, North of Highland Road and East of I-271, and known as Permanent Parcel No. 831-11-002 from its current Office-Laboratory and Production-Distribution Zoning Classifications to Planned Residential Development District." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will be forwarded to the Cuyahoga County Board of Elections for the May 2027 ballot).

Council President Schutt stated, again, per the Charter, any revisions to the Zone Map of Mayfield Village must be referred to the Planning and Zoning Commission for review and recommendation.

- **Motion to refer Ordinance No. 2026-19 to the Planning & Zoning Commission for review and recommendation.**

Mrs. Jurcisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to refer Ordinance No. 2026-19 to the Planning & Zoning Commission for review and recommendation.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

Mr. Murphy asked, how close is this getting us to 5,000 and putting us into a City category?

Mr. Marquart replied, not even close.

Mrs. Jurcisek asked, has discussion happened with the School District at all or anything like that? Because I know the elementary school is at capacity. It's busting at the seams.

Council President Schut stated, I am not aware of any communication.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, okay. So that was one of the concerns I have is pooling on the school resources. And then just as far as the layout, these townhomes, is it like a first floor master? Because I know there's been a lot of requests for senior living to maybe scale down in their homes but would prefer a first floor master conducive to living.

Mr. Marquart stated, yes, currently the presentation shows three different floor plans related to townhomes and two of which would be first floor masters and the third would be second floor masters.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, okay. Thanks.

Council President Schutt asked, any other discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All Motion Carries
NAYS: None

- **First Reading of Ordinance No. 2026-20 ,** entitled, "An Ordinance appropriating funds for current expenses and expenditures of Mayfield Village, Ohio for the period from January 1, 2026 and ending December 31, 2026 and declaring an emergency." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Read in title only) (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor).

Council President Schutt asked, are there any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, Ordinance 2026-20 will move to Second Read at the Regular Meeting of Council on November 16th

- **First Reading of Ordinance No. 2026-21,** entitled, "An Ordinance appropriating funds for current expenses and expenditures of Mayfield Village, Ohio for the period from January 1, 2027 and ending March 31, 2027 and declaring an emergency." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Read in title only) (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor).

Council President Schutt asked, are there any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, Ordinance 2026-21 will move to Second Read at the Regular Meeting of Council on November 16th

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-51**, entitled, "An emergency resolution authorizing the Mayor and President of Council to enter into an amended and restated agreement with the Council of Governments for membership in the Community Partnership on Aging." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor).

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Jurcisek, made a motion to suspend Resolution No. 2026-51.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All — Motion Carries
NAYS: None — Resolution Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Jurcisek, made a motion to pass Resolution No. 2026-51.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All — Motion Carries
NAYS: None — Resolution Passes

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-52**, entitled, "An emergency resolution authorizing the Mayor and President of Council to enter into a Second Amendment to the Yard Waste Agreement with Progressive Casualty Insurance Company for the disposal of yard waste effective January 1, 2027 through June 30, 2029." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor).

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Jurcisek, made a motion to suspend Resolution No. 2026-52.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All Motion Carries
NAYS: None Resolution Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Jurcisek, made a motion to pass Resolution No. 2026-52.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All Motion Carries
NAYS: None Resolution Passes

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-53**, entitled, "An emergency resolution authorizing Mayfield Village to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with GLHG Mayfield Holdings, LLC dba Holiday Inn Mayfield from January 1, 2027 to December 31, 2027." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor).

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Jurcisek, made a motion to suspend Resolution No. 2026-53.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All Motion Carries
NAYS: None Resolution Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Jurcisek, made a motion to pass Resolution No. 2026-53.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All Motion Carries
NAYS: None Resolution Passes

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-54**, entitled, "A resolution requesting the Cuyahoga County Fiscal Officer to adjust the amended official certificate of estimated resources for 2026." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Read in title only) (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will take effect in conjunction with the passage of Ordinance 2026-20 immediately upon signature of the Mayor).

Council President Schutt asked, are there any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, Resolution 2026-54 will move to Second Read at the Regular Meeting of Council on November 16th

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-55**, entitled, "A resolution requesting the Cuyahoga County Fiscal Officer to advance from the proceeds of tax levies for the collection year 2027." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Read in title only) (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will take effect in conjunction with the passage of Ordinance 2026-21 immediately upon signature of the Mayor).

Council President Schutt asked, are there any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, Resolution 2026-55 will move to Second Read at the Regular Meeting of Council on November 16th

- **Motion to acknowledge receipt of financial reports for September 2026 and to approve of same as submitted.**

Mrs. Jurcisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to acknowledge receipt of financial reports for September 2026 and to approve of same as submitted. (Finance Department)

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES:	All	Motion Carried
NAYS:	None	Financial Reports for September 2026 Acknowledged and Approved

- **Motion to authorize remittance of the Village's share of Cuyahoga County Board of Health expenses to the Cuyahoga County Fiscal Officer in the amount of $15,034.88.**

Mrs. Jurcisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to authorize remittance of the Village's share of Cuyahoga County Board of Health expenses to the Cuyahoga County Fiscal Officer in the amount of $15,034.88.

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES:	All	Motion Carried
NAYS:	None	Remittance Approved

ANY OTHER MATTERS

Council President Schutt asked, are there any other matters to come before Council this evening?

There were none.

ADJOURNMENT

Mrs. Jurcisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to adjourn.

The meeting adjourned at 8:10 p.m. The next Regular meeting of Council will be on Monday, November 16, 2026 at 7:00 p.m. in Civic Hall at the Mayfield Village Civic Center.

_____
STEPHEN SCHUTT, COUNCIL PRESIDENT

_____
BRENDA T. BODNAR, MAYOR

_____
MARY E. BETSA, MMC, CLERK OF COUNCIL