

COUNCIL CAUCUS MINUTES
Monday, June 1, 2026 – 7:00 p.m.
Mayfield Village Civic Hall -Mayfield Village Civic Center
6622 Wilson Mills Road, Mayfield Village, Ohio

The Council of Mayfield Village met in Caucus Session on Monday, June 1, 2026 in Mayfield Village Civic Hall at the Mayfield Village Civic Center. Council President Schutt called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

Council President Schutt asked, Mrs. Betsa, may I have a Roll Call, please?

ROLL CALL: Present: Mr. Arndt, Mr. Gall, Mr. Girbino,
Mrs. Jurgisek, Mr. Marquardt,
Mr. Murphy and Mr. Schutt

Also Present: Mayor Bodnar, Ms. Rich, Ms. Calta
Assistant Fire Chief Federico, Mr. Cappello,
Chief Matias, Mr. Marquart, Mr. McAviney,
Mr. Russell, Mr. Stupczy, Ms. Wolgamuth
and Mrs. Betsa

Absent: None

MAYOR

Thank you, Council President. Just a couple things tonight. I would first like to commend Shane McAviney and the Rec Department for wonderful Memorial Day services. Everything went off without a hitch. Councilwoman Jurgisek did a great job at overseeing the event. We had Chief Carcioppolo and Chief Matias there and several first responders. I always say that the crowd who comes to the services really appreciates it when there are first responders there, but I also wanted to say I really appreciate it too. It all went together well. Thank you.

Also, I had a resident write in to me today. Apparently on Sunday a tree fell onto her lawn. The Service Department showed up that day and started cleanup and then finished it today. She sends along her thanks. And I send my kudos to you and the Service Department, Frank. Thank you.

Lastly, this morning, Wendy Sattin from the Community Partnership on Aging and I and Mayor Thomas of Richmond Heights got an opportunity to meet with our State Representative, Dan Troy. It was a good opportunity. We talked about what we need for the CPA, what sources of funding might be available from a State level or what Dan could help us with. It was a productive conversation and we'll take it from there and see if we can leverage that into some dollars to help the CPA.

And that's all I have. Thanks.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you Mayor Bodnar.

COUNCIL PRESIDENT

There's a couple of birthdays I'd like to acknowledge. First, Mayor Bodnar. Her birthday is on June 23rd. And then also, Ms. Calta. Her birthday is on June 26th. So, Happy Early Birthday to the both of you. And then, just a reminder, our next Regular meeting of Council is scheduled for three weeks from today. It will be on Monday, June 22nd at 7 p.m.

PENDING LEGISLATION

- **Ordinance No. 2026-09**, entitled, “An Ordinance amending Codified Ordinance Section 1105.02(d) relating to the process for granting variances to remove the reference to “use” variances which are now prohibited as a result of the recently passed Charter Amendment.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Administration) (First Reading – May 18, 2026) (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Council President Schutt asked, are there any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, Ordinance 2026-09 will move to Second Read at the Regular Meeting of Council on June 22nd.

- **Ordinance No. 2026-10**, entitled, “An Ordinance authorizing the Director of Finance of Mayfield Village to increase the sewer maintenance fee paid to the Cuyahoga County Department of Public Works for the benefit of Mayfield Village, Ohio from \$2.00 per foot to \$4.00 per foot starting in and for the calendar year 2027/tax year 2026.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Administration) (First Reading – May 18, 2026) (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Council President Schutt asked, are there any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, Ordinance 2026-10 will move to Second Read at the Regular Meeting of Council on June 22nd.

**NEW BUSINESS
FOR DISCUSSION**

**1) One-year moratorium on allowing data centers in Mayfield Village
(Administration/Law)**

Ms. Calta stated, thank you, Mr. President. I think everyone has heard about data centers in the news. We're probably getting inundated left and right with them. The Greater Cleveland Partnership came out and said, everyone kind of hold off. We see all these moratoriums and bans going into effect. We don't want you all to join in and then there's no place for these data centers to go.

Notwithstanding that, we've talked amongst the Administration and with the Mayor and John Marquart. And John, certainly jump in. But I think he really kind of outlined the issues that we just don't know a lot about how these data centers will impact communities. The State has convened a bipartisan committee and they're studying data centers. But I think the important thing, at least from my perspective, and I think for the Village's, the Village is very differently situated than a rural southern community that you're turning farmland into a data center. Diane and John and I were on a webinar and there's some other webinars that you've attended. Some think that this is the best thing next to sliced bread. Maybe it is, maybe it isn't. But John hit it on the head when he kind of differentiated. There are, I think you called them enterprise data centers, which would be specific for a business. So think of any business in town that might need their own data center that's on site, that functions almost seamlessly and we don't really even know that it's there versus these mega data centers and their impact to communities and infrastructure.

So what's being proposed is that we have Council consider a moratorium to study data centers for one year. And we would not commission our own study, but largely gather information from all the studies that are being done because the State's not the only entity out there gathering information. What we would look at is first the differences between business or enterprise data centers versus the hyper mega data centers and talk about jobs, talk about utilities, look at water. You hear a lot about the water usage and what that usage would be. And you also hear about the wastewater and if there are contaminants or other things that are impacted after the water is used.

Also, there's been lots of discussions about the amount of power that's needed, the electrical grid and how it can substantiate that amount of power. In the Ohio Municipal League, there's a newsletter they put out every week and in there they talked about the first hearing that was held in front of the committee. And there's some indication that they're looking to alternative electrical usage such as imposing natural gas instead of electrical usage. But that would all be studied along with taxes that are generated or not generated. That would be real estate and income taxes and any other taxes that could be imposed. The Governor has come out and I think pressed pause on the exemptions that are at the State level for right now.

To sum it all up, we're looking to really gather data to understand what we don't know about data centers and how they might impact the Village. The thought is to propose it for one year so that we can get this information. Six months just doesn't seem long enough to get all of the information. However, if for some reason we've collected the data and we have it, we've presented it, Council's been able to review it, and we want to repeal it for some reason, we can certainly repeal it earlier.

And just to talk about our zoning code, we do not expressly permit data centers. So we're a permissive code, which means if you're not permitted, then you're prohibited. Notwithstanding, that doesn't stop someone from coming in and seeking a conditional use or rezoning for something that they would consider a new use. So that's all. I can get into more detail and talk about things that I've looked at.

Mary Beth was able to collect some information and for what this is worth, we have legislation that has been passed all around. I think there's Twinsburg, Avon, Ravenna. You can go on and on; communities that already passed moratoriums so that they can also study potential impacts.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

Mrs. Jurcisek asked, are their moratoriums for a year too?

Ms. Calta replied, I think so. I can get them to you. I can look really quickly. Twinsburg is 12 months. Streetsboro is one year. Avon is six months. Ravenna, one year. Kent, not to exceed one year. So most of them are a year.

Council President Schutt asked, any other questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Ms. Calta.

2) Ordinance providing for the transfer of ownership of a Conditional Use Permit (Law)

Ms. Calta stated, I sent everyone a memo on this. It seems like we have these things that pop up regularly. And we have a fair amount of conditional uses that have been granted throughout the Village. The situation that presented itself, I think we can talk about the hotel, is probably the best example. They have gone through some changes of ownership recently as a result of a few things. Largely litigation, bankruptcy, and a receivership. So they have new ownership and they are permitted as a conditional use on Beta Drive. Our Codified Ordinances address the process to obtain a conditional use permit, but we don't really say anything about what happens when we have a new owner. What we talked about initially is well, we just need contact information for the new owner. But that didn't seem to be very comprehensive and thought out. And there's a little bit more to a conditional use than just knowing who your contact is. So we're proposing adding additional language into the Section of the Codified Ordinance, which is 1149.02. And it would be an administrative process.

But just keep in mind, conditional use permits are specific to a property and to the use that they're granted for. So we're not moving the conditional use permit anywhere. We're not moving it to a new property. We're not changing the use. It's just a new owner that comes in. So it could be that the business is bought out and the entity maybe is the same, but we have different ownership that owns it. There could be a host of different ways that the ownership is transferred, whether through real estate or the business. But this would allow the transfer of the conditional use permit, so long as the specific use and the specific property remain the same. All of the responsibilities would fall to the new owner so you have that established where if we have to enforce anything, we've tied it to that new owner. So that's it in a nutshell. It's just one of those things that comes up here and there, and we thought that it needed some help.

Mr. Gall stated, I do have a question. How is this new owner going to know there's a specific use condition attached to the property? Is it somewhere on the deed?

Ms. Calta replied, and that's one of the things that has, oftentimes it could be that you know that there's a request for an occupancy permit. There's a new owner that comes in and they realize that they need a new occupancy permit and they come to Dan and they say, we need an occupancy permit and Dan says, well, you also need to transfer the conditional use permit. They do run with the land. The existing owner should know. These are all things that should happen. When someone does their due diligence, when they're buying a business, all of these things should be looked at. Oftentimes we'll get zoning request letters when, say, a property actually transfers, the real estate actually transfers versus ownership of a business, we'll get letters or requests for a zoning verification. And in that we'll put what the zoning is and then it's subject to conditional use. So there's a couple of different ways that it comes up.

Mr. Gall asked, so if it was a hotel that's going from brand A to brand B, when it's sold to the person for brand B, they have to come back and ask for a new occupancy permit for an existing hotel? Does it have to go through Fire and everything?

Ms. Calta replied, they're not going to ask for a new occupancy permit, but in that instance, all of the due diligence that's going on with the sale of that property through the receivership, there's contact with that receivership and they know that they have to transfer or submit additional information to us because this isn't in effect yet.

Mr. Gall stated, right, but what I'm saying is they should know these things, but there's nothing that says it has to be identified somewhere upon sale.

Ms. Calta stated, when a conditional use permit is granted, they will know this. We'll put on there now that if there's a transfer of ownership, here's the things that you need to do.

Mayor Bodnar asked, is it possible it could slip under the radar?

Mr. Gall stated, that's what I am thinking about.

Ms. Calta replied, it's possible but if we don't have anything in our Code, there's no expectation of what is required to do. And they also come up for renewal, and when that cycle comes up, I don't know if it's the end of this year –

Mr. Russell stated this year.

Ms. Calta continued, we'll notify all of those owners of this. That's another way that we can get the information out. I think that on the renewals is a good time to do it, assuming that this is approved by Council and goes into effect.

Mr. Gall asked, so there is a renewal period for all conditional uses. It's not a one-time granted for the next 99 years.

Ms. Calta replied, correct. And we're at four years?

Mr. Russell replied, four years.

Ms. Calta stated, and they're all renewed at the same time now.

Mr. Russell stated, that's what we're planning on doing.

Ms. Calta stated, so instead of having them kind of sprinkled throughout the year, they were all put into a bucket so that they're meant to renew on four-year cycles, if that makes sense.

Mr. Girbino asked, and you're required to do an inspection at the time that those conditional use permits are renewing, right? Do you go and do an inspection, make sure they're still in compliance with the restrictions?

Mr. Russell replied, yes, the Fire and Police Departments get involved also.

Mr. Girbino stated, Diane, I had a question. You used the hotel as an example, but what if it's a multi-tenant space and the tenant has the conditional use permit? Because in the actual Ordinance, the tenant occupant can also apply for a conditional use permit, correct?

Ms. Calta replied, correct.

Mr. Girbino stated, not just the owner, even though the owner would be advised of it and be part of it. So how do we manage that?

Ms. Calta replied, that's where I think the best communication is when we're renewing them or granting them. So in the future, we have a new conditional use that's granted, whether it's to an owner or a tenant, they're going to be advised in the permit that if it transfers ownership, they need to come in.

Mr. Girbino asked, so if a tenant moves out and the owner brings another tenant in, another occupant, that's going to trigger the Building Department to have to issue another occupancy permit, correct?

Mr. Russell replied, correct.

Mr. Girbino stated, if they go through the proper channels.

Ms. Calta stated, a lot of shoulds, right?

Mr. Girbino stated, right. So that process alone should then require them to apply for a conditional use permit if their new use is different than what's required, correct? Because they might be a different use in that multi-tenant building?

Mr. Russell replied, yes. The idea is if it's the same, they're going to still have to transfer.

Mr. Gall stated, but it wouldn't be a transfer if the one company is out of business.

Ms. Calta replied, right. They have to apply for a new conditional use permit.

Mr. Gall stated, right. The conditional use would then end when that tenant moved out.

Mr. Girbino stated, so what I don't understand, Diane, is the actual purpose, because if there's a mechanism already in place for a new tenant to have to get a conditional use permit or have to apply based on their use, then why does there need to be a transfer mechanism for the owner?

Ms. Calta replied, so this would be a situation where maybe the hotel's not the best example. The use is still going to be in the location that it's at. It's going to continue. So you don't have a tenant that's vacating a property and a new tenant coming in. You have a use that's going to continue. The dance studio. Maybe they sell their business to a new owner.

Mr. Girbino stated, but if they were to just vacate and a new tenant came in with a completely different use, classified under the Building Code, then that would be –

Ms. Calta replied, they'd have to come in for a new conditional use permit, correct. So it really is a carve-out, and it doesn't seem like it would happen all that often, but we've encountered a few of them within the last probably six to ten months. And it'll probably never happen again, right? We'll put this in place and then we'll never have it happen.

Mr. Murphy asked, the conditional use is on the property, correct?

Ms. Calta replied, but you have to continue the use. Does that make sense? So if the tenant vacates the property –

Mr. Girbino stated, but if the tenant was the reason why the conditional use permit was applied for in the beginning, like let's say - we just did one in P&Z not too long ago. We had somebody come in who wanted to do like a special training exercise type of a place in a multi-tenant space on Beta Drive, and they needed the conditional use permit for that.

Ms. Calta replied, so that's not a transfer, that's a new application.

Mr. Girbino replied, right. But the owner still has to be involved in that process, correct?

Ms. Calta replied, correct.

Mr. Girbino stated, even though it's the tenant. So if the tenant moves out and somebody else comes in and it's a completely different use, they have to reapply.

Ms. Calta replied, correct.

Mr. Girbino asked, so again, the transfer would work if the owner sold the entire property, and then all those different conditional use permits that are assigned to those different tenants, would those people then be applying for transfers under that?

Ms. Calta replied, I don't see it in that instance. I see it if that owner of that specialty soccer club decides that he wants to move back to England and he sells his soccer club, that new owner of the soccer club has to come in and apply to transfer ownership of the conditional use to the new soccer club owner.

Mr. Girbino stated, okay. If he sells his business and it remains in that location.

Ms. Calta replied, correct. Right.

Mr. Girbino asked, but if the owner of the building were to sell, we're talking about ownership of the property, and the tenants are staying and we have a new property owner, how does that work?

Ms. Calta replied, because if the conditional use permit in that instance isn't granted to the property owner, then I don't think there's a need to transfer anything.

Mr. Girbino stated. okay.

Ms. Calta asked, did you have a question?

Mr. Murphy stated, it's fine with me, I don't really understand the reason for it because it's based on the property.

Ms. Calta stated, right, there's nothing changing about the property and its use, it's the new owner of the soccer club is coming in. When you grant the conditional use, you look at who's going to be using the property, whether they have the wherewithal to meet conditions. A lot of times our conditions are hours of operation and parking and things like that, but if you were to put other conditions that maybe they were financial, or maintenance purposes or something like that, and you have a new owner coming in, you'll want to make sure that that owner has the wherewithal to meet those conditions that have already been required of the conditional use.

Mr. Murphy stated, you may have already answered this, but in what situation would someone not be required to get a new conditional use permit? Is it from the hotel? What originated this?

Ms. Calta replied, the hotel was one of them, and then we had, was it a dance studio, Dan, remind me –

Mr. Russell stated, they transferred the property too though, I think.

Mr. Murphy stated, I don't understand the situation. The hotel, was it strictly the receivership, the bankruptcy? Even then, it's based on the property.

Ms. Calta replied, when you issue the permit, there's an applicant, and it's going to be the tenant or the owner that you're granting the permit to. So we had one through Planning that did not come to fruition, but an owner of a property came forward with an application requesting a conditional use permit for a school. The owner was going to sell the property to the school, and the school was going to be the owner and operator of the building. In that instance, that would have been a transfer too, because you're going from one owner who is the applicant to a new owner that needed to be the applicant on the conditional use permit, because again, you want to be able to have those conditions imposed upon the applicant, not the former owner of the property.

Mr. Murphy asked, based on what Mike was saying, wouldn't the school have to apply for the conditional use permit and not the property?

Ms. Calta replied, in the instance where that was lined up, the property owner came in, and as a condition of that sale, and their due diligence, I presume, the conditional use permit was required before they would close on the property. So in that instance, what would have been the former owner came in, so you would need a transfer there. That didn't happen, but that was another example in talking about this, and we would have required them to do it.

Mr. Murphy stated, that makes the most sense, if it happened.

Ms. Calta stated, yes, but it didn't actually happen.

Council President Schutt asked, another questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Ms. Calta.

- 3) Acceptance of Deed for 1003 Woodlane Drive property from NEORS (Law)**
- 4) Approval of permanent easements for 1003 and 1006 Woodlane Drive (Law)**

Ms. Calta stated with regard to the Worton Park Phase II project, you may know that there are two parcels there that are being used as part of the project. The Village acquired 1006 Woodlane, and the Sewer District acquired 1003 Woodlane. What needs to happen is the Sewer District has signed a Deed over to the Village for the 1003 property. So both of the properties will be deeded to the Village once that transfer happens. And then the Sewer District requires a, I'll call it a stormwater conservation easement be placed on those properties so that they may be maintained for purposes of the stormwater improvements that are being made in Worton Park. We have to authorize that easement to be placed on both of those properties. This is similar to what was done with Worton Park Phase I with the Breeden house. The easement is pretty much verbatim with that. We haven't had any issues with the restrictions on it. We had one little thing that popped up that we got permission from the Sewer District to handle. So if there's any questions, I can entertain those, but it's part of that project.

Mr. Gall stated, I do have a question. So once the Deed is transferred to the Village, then the Village maintains the property?

Ms. Calta stated, there's parameters within the conservation easement on how to maintain the property.

Mr. Gall stated, right. But they're going to cut the grass, et cetera, et cetera, according to the arrangement with the Sewer district easement.

Ms. Calta replied, yes, correct.

Mr. Gall asked, but the Village will be responsible?

Ms. Calta stated, yes.

Mr. Gall stated, because right now, it's kind of a split thing. Sometimes the Sewer District cuts the grass. Sometimes the Village.

Mr. Stupczy stated, no, that's not true. We cut the grass on the property we own, and they cut the grass on the property they own.

Mr. Gall stated, okay, so once the plan gets moved forward and the potential landscaping changes-

Mr. Stupczy stated, the planned maintenance schedule will be provided by us.

Mr. Gall stated, okay. Just so when people call me and say, hey, the grass needs to be cut, I know where to go. But thank you.

Mr. Girbino asked, are there structures on those properties?

Ms. Calta replied, no, they have both been taken down.

Council President Schutt asked, any other questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you again, Ms. Calta.

5) Two-year renewal of Cleaning Contracts for the Civic Center and Community Room with Integrity Cleaning (Administration)

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, thank you, Council President. Frank and I provided a memo to Council, essentially saying that we're pretty happy with the cleaning services that we have currently right now through Integrity. To elaborate just a little bit on that, we have really had no complaints in the last two years, which is pretty amazing at the Community Room, given the amount of use that we have there. And I think we're really happy with the level of communication. They seem to kind of watch over the building. They call us if they notice that anything seems not right, or if the door is left unlocked. And whenever we have any issues, we call, and they take care of it right away. So we're happy. We would like Council to renew the contract for another two years for both buildings.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Ms. Wolgamuth.

6) Application for 2027 Cuyahoga County Supplemental Grant Program (up to \$50,000) to replace the Wetlands Trail bridge (Administration)

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, thank you again. We would like to apply to the County for a \$50,000 to help us replace the pedestrian bridge that has currently been removed. The grant has certain requirements, one of them being legislation from Council saying that they support the project. The other thing that they require is a public meeting that has to be held at least a week before the legislation is approved, so I scheduled a public meeting for Tuesday, June 9th at 1:00 in this room. It's going to be held in conjunction with the Garden Club meeting because the Garden Club actually had been asking about the pedestrian bridge. We will be presenting the plans for the bridge. Tom

has gotten some information on a prefab bridge rather than a constructed bridge which is what was there before. We think the constructed bridge would be many times more expensive other than a prefab which we are estimating to be under \$100,000. If we get the grant, there will be more opportunity for Council to review the project and approve it if we go forward at that time.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Ms. Wolgamuth.

7) All Covered/Konica Minolta for services from June 2026 through June 2027 in an amount not to exceed \$34,000.00 (Administration)

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, thank you. I am speaking on behalf of Jeff Thomas. He said this is nothing unusual. This is the same renewal that we pretty much do every year. I don't think the pricing has changed substantially. He said if anyone had any questions, he would be happy to come to the next meeting.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Ms. Wolgamuth.

8) TEC renewal for phone services (year two) annual recurring charges not to exceed \$22,800.00 (Administration)

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, this is just a renewal of our phone services. It's year 2 of 3. We will be just doing a motion to approve the expenditure.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Ms. Wolgamuth.

9) Income Tax Rebate to Mars Electric per 2025 Economic Development Incentive Grant Agreement (Administration)

Mr. Marquart stated, thank you, Mr. President. Per the memo that I sent to you last week, you will recall that a little over a year ago we executed a new Agreement with Mars Electric to incentivize them to sign a lease extension to 6655 Beta Drive and we established the payroll benchmark as essentially the end of their last incentive agreement. I am happy to report they have exceeded that in year 1. All of the site improvements that were tied into the Agreement are complete as well.

They've completed about a \$600,000 parking and stormwater project. This will help offset that from the Company's perspective. We will need to convene a meeting of the M.C.I.C. ahead of the next regularly-scheduled Council meeting. I would be happy to take any questions.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Mr. Marquart.

10) Property & Casualty Insurance Renewal (Wichert Insurance - \$190,920.00) (Finance)

Ms. Rich stated, thank you, Mr. President. Our annual property and casualty insurance renewal for July through June came it. This is a 1.6% increase which is very good. That low increase is mainly due to our low claim history the last two years. It did help that we sold the three rentals on Wilson Mills. We have had really good experience with Wichert Insurance so I request that we continue with them and renew.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you Ms. Rich.

11) Alternative Tax Budget - 2027 (Finance)

Ms. Rich stated, thank you again, Mr. President. Our alternative tax budget is an annual requirement from the State of Ohio and Cuyahoga County. This is the beginning process of the 2027 budget. Basically what the alternative tax budget does is it establishes the need that we still need our levies that we have and of course we do. So this basically starts the 2027 budget process with the first certificate that the Auditors require us to have. The numbers will change significantly as we go through the budget process but this is just the first step for the 2027 budget.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Ms. Rich.

Any Other Matters

Council President Schutt asked, are there any other matters to come before Council this evening?

Ms. Calta stated, I have one other thing. Mary Beth forwarded over on Friday a Board of Zoning appeal to Council. There was a Board of Zoning Appeals meeting on the 19th of May for a setback for a shed. The requirement is 10 feet and they were requesting 5 feet. The Board of Zoning Appeals did not approve the variance request. The appeal came in. I will put together a memo on the process for Council but we would look to have all of that before Council on the 22nd if that works. You can have a full rehearing or you can just review the information from the Board of Zoning Appeals. I think the Minutes are pretty clear. With the application, you will get an idea of the request. You can certainly make that decision if you would like to hold a rehearing. I will get that memo out this week.

Council President Schutt asked, are there any other matters to come before Council?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, since there are no other matters, the meeting is adjourned. It is 7:35 p.m. Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary E. Betsa, MMC
Clerk of Council