

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL MAYFIELD VILLAGE, OHIO

Monday, June 22, 2026

Mayfield Village Civic Hall-Mayfield Village Civic Center

The Council of Mayfield Village met in Regular Session on Monday, June 22, 2026 in Mayfield Village Civic Hall at the Mayfield Village Civic Center. Council President Schutt called the meeting to order at 7:04 p.m.

Council President Schutt asked, Mrs. Betsa, may I have a Roll Call, please?

ROLL CALL: Present: Mr. Arndt, Mr. Girbino,
Mrs. Juncisek, Mr. Marquardt,
Mr. Murphy and Mr. Schutt

Also Present: Mayor Bodnar, Ms. Rich, Ms. Calta,
Chief Carcioppolo, Mr. Cappello,
Mr. Marquart, Chief Matias, Mr. McAviney,
Mr. Stupczy, Mr. Russell
Ms. Wolgamuth and Mrs. Betsa

Absent: Mr. Gall

Council President Schutt stated, please let the record reflect that Mr. Gall is absent and excused from this evening's meeting.

The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was given.

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES:

Regular Council Meeting – May 18, 2026

Mrs. Juncisek, seconded by Mr. Arndt, made a motion to approve the Minutes of the Regular Council Meeting of May 18, 2026 as written.

Council President Schutt asked, any discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES:	All	Motion Carries
NAYS:	None	Minutes of May 18, 2026
		Meeting Approved as Written

Comments From Mayor Bodnar

Thank you, Council President. Tonight I will be swearing in Callie Cripps as our newest BZA member. She will be an Alternate for the Board of Zoning Appeals. Callie comes to us from South Euclid, where she had served on their Planning Commission for the past five years. She is also the Executive Director of the Shaker Heights Development Corporation. So she brings with us a wealth of experience, and she has accepted an appointment as the Alternate on the Board of Zoning Appeals.

So Callie, if you would come forward, and I will swear you in.

. Administration of Oath of Office to Callie M. Cripps, Alternate for the Board of Zoning Appeals

Mayor Bodnar administered the oath of office to Callie M. Cripps.

Thank you. Thank you. And we have a BZA appeal tonight, if you want to stay.

Ms. Cripps replied, I am going to stay for it.

Mayor Bodnar stated, thank you very much. Welcome again.

And just one other note, I'd like to commend Shane and the Recreation Department, as well as the Service Department, the Police Department and the Fire Department for putting together a fantastic Cruise Night. Everything went well, and in fact, I even got an e-mail from a resident whose friend passed out or fainted. I don't know if it was from the heat, but the Fire Department was there and came to her assistance right away, and everything was fine. So thank you all for doing a great job individually, but for working together to make it such a great event. Thank you. And that's all I have.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Mayor.

Comments from Council President Schutt

Just a reminder that the July Caucus Meeting is scheduled for July 6th at 7 p.m. in this room, and the Regular Meeting of Council is scheduled for July 20th, also at 7 p.m. in this room.

We have some birthdays in July. We have Mr. Russell, his birthday is on July 8th. Also, Mr. Michalko, his birthday is also on the 8th. Chief Matias, his birthday is on the 17th, and Councilman Murphy, his birthday is on July 28th.

So Happy Early Birthday to all of you.

Open Portion – For Public Comment (5-minute limit imposed by Chair)

Council President Schutt asked, are there any comments?

There were none.

Department Head Reports:

Dan Russell (Building) – Thank you, Council President. I'll be reporting on the May 19th Board of Zoning Appeals meeting, the May 28th ARB meeting, and the June 11th ARB meeting. The BZA meeting received two applications, one for a shed with a side yard setback variance, which was denied. The second application was for a new home with a front facing garage. That was approved. The May 28th ARB meeting, we received one application that was tabled to the June 11th meeting, and it was approved at that meeting. That's all I have.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated thank you, Mr. Russell.

Chief Matias (Police Department) - Thank you, Council President. I just have a few things I wanted to talk about. On this past Tuesday, June 16th, we had our full scale exercise. This year, we had it at Brush High School. It's an active threat exercise with six different cities, police and fire, getting together. And the idea of the exercise is just to train everybody on working together. We had over 90 participants between police, fire, and dispatch, again, from six different cities, and it went very well. I want to thank the Cuyahoga County EMA for helping out and our CERT team. They help out every year, and they do a great job. They're a great resource to have.

Also, I want to give an update on two grants we were awarded recently. Each year, we apply to the Federal Government for the Bulletproof Vest Program that reimburses us half of the cost of the body armor that the officers have to purchase. And just over the past five years, we've gotten about \$15,000 in reimbursement from that. We were awarded that again this year. So as we purchase new body armor, we'll be using that grant.

I also learned we were awarded a Justice Assistance grant. It was actually last year's grant, but due to the shutdowns, everything got kind of delayed. I put in for a grant to replace our MDT's, the computers in the car, and we were awarded a grant for \$14,222 to replace those MDT's. We have to do a little match with it, but otherwise it'll fund everything. So again, just having a little success with the grants. I am happy about that. That's all I have. Thank you.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions? Thank you, Chief.

Tom Cappello (Engineer) –Yes, Council President. Just to update on Beta Drive, the contractor is scheduled to begin work on Monday, July 6th. The anticipated timeframe is 120 calendar days. One way northbound and then eastbound traffic will be maintained throughout the project.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Mr. Cappello.

Shane McAviney (Parks and Recreation) – Thank you, Council President. We have had a busy summer so far. Cruise Night was a very wonderful success. It's nice to see not only us coming together as employees, but residents coming together and enjoying the event. I also will say that the Cruise Night has changed over the years since I've been here. We see a nice variety of different vehicles that 10 years ago I wouldn't consider as one of the classic vehicles, but vehicles from when I grew up are now classic cars that are coming down Wilson Mills. It was great to see. It was enjoyable.

We have a lot of great things coming up this week. Wednesday, during the daytime, we have our lunch concert series again, 11:00 to 1:00, at the Gazebo. We had a nice group in attendance last week at our Father's Day concert. We probably had 200-300 people show up to enjoy a little food and a little Jimmy Buffett, and this week we'll have another one. We also have the Akron Symphonic Winds Orchestra this Wednesday at 6.30 at the Grove. We'll be doing the celebration of 250 years of America. On Friday we have a concert at the Grove. Bon Jovi and another 80's band. Then Saturday we're already into 4th of July for us because of how everything fell this year. Everything gets condensed into three busy, busy, busy weeks, and we'll have a Bruce Springsteen band, kids activities at the pool all day. The pool is free for Mayfield Village residents all day long, regardless of whether they have a pass or not, and then we will conclude the night with a wonderful show of fireworks. And then we slow down a little bit, so everything's good to go this week, a busy week, and a lot of fun things going on. Hope to see a lot of faces out there.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Mr. McAviney.

Are there any other Department Head reports this evening?

There were none.

OLD BUSINESS

- **Second Reading of Ordinance No. 2026-09**, entitled, “An Ordinance amending Codified Ordinance Section 1105.02(d) relating to the process for granting variances to remove the reference to “use” variances which are now prohibited as a result of the recently passed Charter Amendment.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Administration) (First Reading – May 18, 2026) (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Council President Schutt asked, are there any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, Ordinance 2026-09 will move to Third Read at the Regular Meeting of Council on July 20th.

- **Second Reading of Ordinance No. 2026-10**, entitled, “An Ordinance authorizing the Director of Finance of Mayfield Village to increase the sewer maintenance fee paid to the Cuyahoga County Department of Public Works for the benefit of Mayfield Village, Ohio from \$2.00 per foot to \$4.00 per foot starting in and for the calendar year 2027/tax year 2026.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Administration) (First Reading – May 18, 2026) (Upon Third Reading and passage, this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Council President Schutt asked, are there any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, Ordinance 2026-10 will move to Third Read at the Regular Meeting of Council on July 20th.

NEW BUSINESS

- **Appeal of Anthony Liberatore of the Board of Zoning Appeals’ decision dated May 19, 2026 denying his request to place a 480 square foot shed 5’ from the side property line.**

Council President Schutt asked, Ms. Calta?

Ms. Calta stated, thank you, Mr. President. I wanted to jump in here and just give a little bit of background on this appeal and then if there's any questions I can answer them and then we can invite the appellant to address Council and then go from there.

As Council knows, we don't see that many Board of Zoning Appeals' appeals to Council. I believe the last one we saw was in 2022 and since that time the Codified Ordinances of the Village have been updated in this Section to give more detail and definition to how the appeal should proceed. So this is going to be a little bit different than what you've seen in the past but what stays the same is that Council has the option of determining this case on the, we'll call it the record, from the Board of Zoning Appeals. So the applicant's application, the Board of Zoning Appeals Minutes, the appeal that was filed to Council from the appellant and the appellant can address Council this evening and answer any questions.

That's one and then Council could vote tonight. The options are to affirm, modify, or reverse the decision of the Board of Zoning Appeals and the standard is what I would say is part of the updated Code and the standard for this Council to review the appeal is an abuse of discretion standard which means you're not standing in the shoes of the Board of Zoning Appeals and making the decision anew. You're standing in your role as Council members and making a decision whether the Board of Zoning Appeals abused its discretion. So did they act reasonably? And again you can affirm, modify, or reverse.

Now keep all that in mind. You may also schedule at presumably the next Regular Council Meeting a rehearing if you wish to have this matter reheard. And in the updated Code there's a process for how that rehearing is set up and you'll see each side has time to present their appeal and rebuttal and so forth and so on. It is up to Council to make that first decision whether you'd like to hear this matter based upon the record that you have. Council has been provided a memo from me about the process and then you should have available to you all of that information which is the application that was submitted the Board of Zoning Appeals Minutes and the appeal. There's also a letter in there that was sent to the applicant notifying him of the decision. He was at the meeting but there's also a letter that goes out notifying of the decision and the appeal rights and then you have the appeal there.

This was heard before the Board of Zoning Appeals on May 19th. The applicant is Mr. Anthony Liberatore Jr. and he requested a variance from a side yard setback. The setback requirement for the shed is 10 feet from the side yard and he requested a 5 foot variance which would place the shed 5 feet from the property line.

The shed is 480 square feet. It's 13 feet 9 inches high. The square footage of the shed complies with the Code and the height complies with the Code. If you look at the application that was filed you may see that there was a request relating to the size but I think that was a misunderstanding. There is not a variance for the size or the height. Both of those meet the requirements of the Code. But the location that he has requested did not. So the request to the Board of Zoning Appeals was a side yard setback.

That's pretty much it in a nutshell. I don't know if there's any questions that Council has that I can answer before we proceed but the next step will be to invite the applicant up to present his appeal.

You certainly can ask him questions and then at that point if you would like to schedule a rehearing you would do a motion to set the rehearing or in the alternative if you choose not to have a rehearing on another night, you can vote on the appeal tonight.

Mrs. Jurcisek asked, we would raise a motion at that point in time whether to uphold the BZA decision or reject their decision?

Ms. Calta replied, correct.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, okay.

Mr. Arndt asked, we can reverse it?

Ms. Calta replied, you can affirm it, you can modify it, or you can reverse it. Correct. But again remember that the standard is an abuse of discretion so you would want to explain that in your decision. You can also request of me to prepare findings of fact and conclusions of law which I can do and then bring them back at the next Council meeting and you could vote on those. But you're going to vote one way or another either to decide the appeal or to have the rehearing.

Mr. Murphy asked, does anyone know how long we've had a side yard setback of 10 feet?

Ms. Calta replied, let me see if I can tell based on the Code section. Dan, if you know off the top of your head.

Mr. Russell stated, I do not.

Ms. Calta stated, I don't recall changing it.

Mr. Russell stated, it's been there for a while.

Mr. Murphy stated, long time. And what is the purpose of a side yard setback in the backyard like this?

Mr. Russell replied, to get away from the neighboring property. There's space in between. That's what I'm assuming. That's typically what it is. So you're not right on top of the property line. Pools, in-ground pools are at 15 feet, setbacks, property lines, accessory structures, etc.

Mr. Murphy stated, thank you.

Ms. Calta stated, if I could jump in and answer your question again, it looks like that ordinance for the side yard setback was approved in 1993. So we've had that on the books for a while now. Maybe as long as some of the cars that were at Cruise Night.

Council President Schutt asked, anything else from Council at this point? Mr. Liberatore, did you want to come up to the podium? And if anybody was going to join you, they can come up there as well.

Mr. Liberatore stated, esteemed Mayor and Council, I appreciate the opportunity to address this issue. As you've seen in the Minutes, there's quite a bit of Q&A with the zoning board. Would anybody have any questions regarding that?

Mr. Murphy asked, how wide is your property?

Mr. Liberatore replied, 75 feet.

Mr. Murphy asked, and what are the dimensions, length and width of your-

Mr. Liberatore replied, 400 deep.

Mr. Murphy asked, length and width of the proposed shed?

Mr. Liberatore replied, 24 by 20.

Mr. Murphy stated, 24 by 20. So 24/20 is going along the back side of your property.

Mr. Liberatore replied, yes.

Mr. Murphy asked, 24 by 20?

Mr. Liberatore replied, the 24 is parallel.

Mr. Murphy asked, 24 is parallel to the street?

Another gentleman replied from the audience, the six-foot fence that is along the back of the property line.

Ms. Calta stated, if you'd like to come up and speak, you can certainly do that, but we'd like you to come up and identify yourself. Just to keep the record and our Minutes clear.

Mr. Murphy asked, so the 75 width of your property is 24 feet or is 20 feet?

Mr. Liberatore replied, 20.

Mr. Murphy stated, thank you.

Mr. Liberatore stated, I think in my review of the Minutes, there was some fear that although the shed is being constructed 200 feet from the street behind the house, that it would be visible from

the street. The City had sent out numerous objection letters, 25 to be exact. None of them had any opposition. In fact, I have a signed letter here from my neighbor, Rapposelli, who lives directly across the street. And with that view of the sideline, we're talking 6 feet on the east side of the property and 10 feet on the west side. Now on the west side, I had performed extensive remodeling of the home, which included the removal of an existing fireplace, which narrowed that west side to 5 feet. So had I not removed the fireplace, the obstruction, the property, the house line would have been 5 feet to the property line. For any concern about viewing it, it is a taller structure, not as tall as the chimney that I removed. But I can certainly plant a tree to obstruct anybody that would look between the property lines in order to obscure it from the street.

A couple of things I've added here, the shed offers a setback of 200 feet from the street, again screened by a tree and a gate, ensuring no frontal visibility. The design and placement of the proposed shed would blend seamlessly to the property and not alter the essential character of the neighborhood, detrimentally affecting adjoining properties. There's plenty of outhouses in the community that are within three feet two on the property line.

Other than that, without any objections, it leaves me to question why have a denial when nobody objects to the construction? I've spoken to both my neighbors, Pamela Zalba on the east side and Tammy Lanning on the west side of the property before filing the appeal, and they had no objections. Again, 25 abutting property owners were notified, none with any objections. And of course the written response from Rapposelli who lives directly across the street.

The barn is to facilitate the removal of two unsightly tents that I have on the property that I currently am using for lawn equipment storage and recreational equipment. I asked for a five-foot variance, believing it would be reasonable, particularly with the existing chimney and the fence that actually will be covering it.

The reason for installing that shed on that side, the west side of the property line all the way through the backyard has a six-foot fence, and I faced the shed to enjoy the wooded property on the east side and back. The backyard is fully private, and I don't want to disrupt any of that. In fact, I spent a lot of time and expense clearing the yard from the former owner's care. So that's pretty much in a nutshell. Again, I asked for a 5-foot variance, feeling it would be fair for the aforementioned reasons, and it would certainly accommodate the storage needs I have in the back and help out in future backyard activities. I have a pool back there that I would like to space in the backyard and actually observe the natural depth. That's all I have to say.

A gentleman stated, I would like to say something.

Mr. Liberatore stated, I would like to introduce Karl Welms. He's the contractor who has assisted on this project.

Mr. Welms stated, Council, Mayor, I think the whole perspective of his yard the way it's set up, he's got a narrow, not a double lot, a single lot, so 75 feet. If you move that shed 10 foot off and it's 20 foot wide, you are in the middle of his yard. The way his yard's set up, if he moves it that

5 foot, it will be a little offset so he can still access the rest of his yard and still see the view of the way his house is set up in the back with his addition he did put on. From a contractor's perspective, I think that's one of the most – you don't want a shed in the middle of the yard. That's why we are here for a variance. He could have put the shed up months ago if he didn't want this variance. He's been very patient with it. I would like you guys to look into that part.

Mr. Liberatore stated, Commissioner Russell has really helped through my rehab. It was several years after I purchased the house. He also helped me with the design of the barn. We have been working together throughout this process.

Council President Schutt stated, okay. Does Council have any questions?

Mrs. Jurcisek asked, Dan, I have a question. I think I read in the Minutes that you did not foresee any drainage issues or anything of that matter with the neighboring houses?

Mr. Russell replied, there is no issue with that.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, okay.

Ms. Calta asked, Mr. President? If I can just talk about one item. There was a question posed as to why there was a denial when there were no objections from neighbors. I just wanted to bring everyone back to the fact that the standard here is an abuse of discretion. The standard at the Board of Zoning Appeals is whether or not the applicant has proven a practical difficulty. The Board of Zoning Appeals is called upon to review the application to determine if there is, often people say a hardship, but the correct terminology is a practical difficulty. There are 7 factors that are called the *Duncan* factors because they were announced by the Ohio Supreme Court as factors to consider when a Board of Zoning Appeals is reviewing a variance. Those same factors are included in the Village's Code. I will just go over them briefly to kind of set the stage of what the Board of Zoning Appeals was asked to do.

Essentially, you look at whether or not the property can be used without the variance. Whether the variance is substantial, meaning did the applicant look to minimize the variance, so is this the smallest variance that they need. Whether or not the essential character of the neighborhood would be changed. Whether there is a substantial detriment as a result of the variance. So if the Board of Zoning Appeals granted the variance, is there some sort of detriment that it would cause.

These are factors that are general factors. Some of these often don't apply. The fourth factor is whether or not any governmental services would be adversely impacted by the granting of the variance. That would take into consideration something like Dan just mentioned about whether it would impact any drainage on the side yard. Whether the property owner purchased the property with the knowledge of the zoning restriction. We have already indicated that this side yard setback ordinance has been in place since at least 1993. Whether or not the owner can avoid requesting this variance by some other means. Could the applicant place this shed 10 feet from the property

line and still use their property? The 7th factor is whether or not the intent and spirit of the zoning code will be upheld if the variance is granted.

That is what the Board of Zoning Appeals looked at. If you read the Minutes, they did not determine that there was a practical difficulty. I'm not sure what the side yard setback is for your house, but I want to say that it's 7 feet without the chimney. Is that correct?

Mr. Liberatore stated, there's a fireplace.

Ms. Calta stated, without the fireplace. Am I correct in saying it's a 7 foot setback?

Mr. Liberatore replied, yes.

Ms. Calta stated, I think there was some discussion in the Minutes from the Board of Zoning Appeals about aligning the shed with the setback from the house together so that hypothetically if you looked from the street, you wouldn't see the shed. I am interpreting what the Minutes say, but it seems like they thought there was another option for the placement of the shed.

Mr. Liberatore stated, that's why I would propose putting a tree by there. Again, this is behind the house 200 feet back on the property behind a gate. I have an existing tree there but I planned on replacing it with a more suitable tree to obscure it. Privacy is an issue as well with that path behind the house and alongside the house, so I installed a tree there hiding the path going back to the house.

Council President Schutt asked, any other questions or comments from Council?

Mrs. Jursisek stated, I think you mentioned this in the Minutes. The tents would be removed?

Mr. Liberatore replied, yes.

Council President Schutt asked, any other questions or comments?

Mr. Murphy asked, Dan, what other side setback backyards have you encountered in your career? I am not going to put you on the spot and ask what the national average for a side setback in the backyard is but that's kind of what I am asking.

Mr. Russell replied, we have a few.

Mr. Murphy asked, no, like Medina, other places in the country, what is the average side setback?

Mr. Russell replied, 3 feet typically.

Mr. Welms stated, I believe it's 3 feet for structures in Richmond Heights and Highland Heights. It's been quite a few years since I have looked at the ordinances, but they are a lot closer.

Mr. Russell stated, some are farther away.

Mr. Welms stated, for the bigger lots they would be.

Mr. Liberatore stated, my former residence in Willoughby was 5 foot.

Ms. Calta stated, so Mr. President, if there are no questions and the appellant does not have anything else to present to Council, now would be the time for Council to determine if they would like to have a vote on this this evening or have a rehearing at the next Regular Council meeting.

Council President Schutt stated, and then the vote then would be the abuse of discretion for the Board of Zoning Appeals.

Ms. Calta replied, correct. So if you were to vote on this this evening, your options would be a motion to affirm, finding that the Board of Zoning Appeals did not abuse its discretion when it made a determination that the applicant did not prove practical difficulties for the location of the shed at a distance and request of a 5 foot side yard variance setback. You could also reverse that decision if you were to find that the Board of Zoning Appeals abused its discretion because it acted unreasonably and then there would have to be something to base that on. You could also modify it. I don't know that this really lends itself to a modification.

Mrs. Juncisek stated, Mr. Liberatore mentioned planting some landscaping. Would that be considered a modification since it wasn't actually mentioned originally?

Ms. Calta replied, if you did that you would then be reversing the Board of Zoning Appeals' finding that they acted unreasonably and you would grant the variance with the requirement for a tree to be planted in front.

Mayor Bodnar stated, you said this wasn't maybe suitable for a variance, but you also mentioned lining it up with the house.

Ms. Calta stated, in the Minutes from the Board of Zoning Appeals, there was discussion about moving it over so that with the line of sight from the street you would be looking at the house and the shed would also line up with the house. You wouldn't see the shed.

Mayor Bodnar asked, so that essentially would be a 3 foot variance instead of a 5 foot variance?

Ms. Calta replied, that would be a 3 foot variance, correct. If the house is 7 foot off the property line, then that would be a 3 foot variance, correct.

Mrs. Juncisek stated, but the issue with that was that the shed would then be too far into the middle of the yard where there would be a potential blocking view from the main residence.

Ms. Calta stated, based on the Minutes from the Board of Zoning Appeals, yes. That was the discussion. That's my understanding from the appellant. It would change the aesthetic in the backyard.

Mrs. Jursisek stated, right. Okay.

Council President Schutt asked, but then if that was the case, it would then just be moved over 2 feet, not the full 5? So it would be 7 feet from the property line not 10 feet from the property line. So it would be closer to the middle of the yard but not the entire 10 foot setback from the side setback.

Mayor Bodnar stated, it's 2 feet different. So essentially the 5 foot variance would be a 3 foot variance.

Ms. Calta replied, right. Moving it 2 feet, right.

Council President Schutt stated, yes. Moving it to a 3 foot variance, yes.

Ms. Calta stated, the applicant certainly could resubmit with that variance to the Board of Zoning Appeals if Council were not inclined to grant his appeal. So he is not precluded from coming back and asking for a different variance.

Council President Schutt stated, sure. Okay.

Ms. Calta stated, if I can jump in one more time.

Council President Schutt stated, sure.

Ms. Calta stated, if you choose to modify or reverse your vote, it needs to be a two-thirds majority, so you need 5. Mr. Gall is not here tonight. You need 5 out of 6 because it's actually two-thirds of the elected members, so it's two-thirds of 7. If you choose to just affirm it, you only need only 4.

Council President Schutt stated, so then at this point in time, Council then has to basically decide on whether to move forward on that abuse of discretion or a rehearing at this point.

Ms. Calta replied, correct. That's the first prong, whether you want to vote tonight or you'd like to schedule it for a rehearing.

Council President Schutt asked, and then the rehearing is just coming back to Council again?

Ms. Calta replied, correct.

Mrs. Jursisek stated, I would say that we vote on it tonight.

Council President Schutt stated, I agree.

Ms. Calta stated, so if someone would like to make a motion, your options would be to a motion to affirm, motion to modify or motion to reverse.

- **Motion to affirm the Board of Zoning Appeals' decision dated May 19, 2026 denying the request of Anthony Liberatore to place a 480 square foot shed 5' from the side property line.**

Mr. Girbino made a motion to affirm the Board of Zoning Appeals' decision dated May 19, 2026 denying the request of Anthony Liberatore to place a 480 square foot shed 5' from the side property line.

There was no second.

Ms. Calta stated, if you don't have a second then the motion doesn't go anywhere. If you have a second, you can discuss that motion and you all certainly can vote however you want on that motion. You can vote in favor of the motion or against it. I would encourage Council to consider the motion and if somebody can second it, you can still vote however you are intending to vote.

Council President Schutt asked, so is there a second to affirm the decision?

There was no second.

Ms. Calta stated, okay. Hearing no second, then we don't have any motion. That motion goes nowhere. Would someone like to make another motion?

- **Motion to reverse the Board of Zoning Appeals' decision dated May 19, 2026 denying the request of Anthony Liberatore to place a 480 square foot shed 5' from the side property line based on the fact that this would actually result in improvement of the property and would make it more beneficial to the surrounding community. This would avoid residential viewing impairment at the residence.**

Mrs. Jursisek, seconded by Mr. Arndt, made a motion to reverse the Board of Zoning Appeals' decision dated May 19, 2026 denying the request of Anthony Liberatore to place a 480 square foot shed 5' from the side property line based on the fact that this would actually result in improvement of the property and would make it more beneficial to the surrounding community. This would avoid residential viewing impairment at the residence.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

Ms. Calta asked, can I jump in?

Council President Schutt stated, sure.

Ms. Calta stated, we have a motion to reverse. Reversing would grant the variance. But you are also saying that you are going to make a finding that the Board of Zoning Appeals abused its discretion so that they acted unreasonably which means that under our Code as we updated it, if you modify or overturn the decision.

Mr. Arndt asked, modify or reverse, you mean, right?

Ms. Calta stated, well, this is the language that I have here. Council shall issue written findings of fact and conclusions of law. This is where you are going to need 5 votes but then we are also going to have to come back with written findings of fact and conclusions of law. Which is fine, it's just that I need you in your discussion and in your votes to articulate your reasons for finding that there was an abuse of discretion.

Mrs. Jurgisek stated, I can elaborate if need be, but just reading through the Minutes, it is mentioned that you have to determine if the variance should be met and one of them is the variance would result in an improvement of the property that's more appropriate and more beneficial to the community which in this case, erecting a shed and taking down the tents I feel that that's more improvement to the community. We are avoiding obstruction from the main residence which I would believe would impact, again, the primary residents and the primary purpose of that. I don't know if anyone else wants to comment.

Mr. Murphy stated, one thing. I don't know, but I feel like it's a narrow lot. Having a 20-foot wide shed plus 10 feet from the side gets you to 30 feet. Halfway between 75 feet is 37 and a half so you are almost taking up the whole back half of the property with the shed 10 feet off the property line. The other thing is, I see worse stuff in front yards rather than back yards and this is a pretty deep lot. We are talking about the back yard here.

Mr. Girbino stated, I don't think we have shown that there was an abuse of discretion on behalf of the BZA. The way I read through the documents, they have upheld their end of the bargain as far as our zoning goes. They enforce the zoning code. I am not saying that this wouldn't work, but our zoning is what it is. I don't think that Mr. Liberatore has proven that he has met that criteria as set forth under the current Code. My question, Mr. Liberatore, that said, in order to maintain the setback requirements, couldn't you just put a smaller structure up and still put your stuff in it? Do you need a structure of those dimensions?

Mr. Liberatore replied, I have 2 full tents.

Mr. Girbino asked, how large are your tents?

Mr. Liberatore replied, 12 by 12.

Mr. Girbino stated, okay. So it's conceivable that you could build a somewhat smaller structure to get that side yard setback and still fill it up with stuff, right, and still maintain the construction within the confines of the zoning.

Mr. Liberatore stated, I am putting an 8 foot porch along the perimeter.

Mr. Girbino stated, okay. I guess my point is there's a way to do this. To stay within the zoning and still build your structure. It may not be exactly what you want, but I am sure many of us here have had to make modifications to make changes to our homes here. I know I have when I put an outbuilding up myself.

Mr. Welms stated, that's why there are variances in place so we can take this to the next level.

Mr. Girbino stated, I agree. That's why the process is in place. But again, I don't think that you have shown to BZA that there was a legitimate reason based on the criteria in our Ordinance for a variance of that type. I would have to go and support BZA's decision.

Mr. Liberatore stated, you are welcome to examine my storage.

Mr. Girbino stated, I don't really need to.

Mr. Murphy stated, it's been on the books since 1993. Do you think it make sense for us to go back and look at this Ordinance to see if it makes sense? If the BZA is upholding 10 feet because that's what our zoning says since 1993, if you think about it, does it make sense? They only denied it because that's what our zoning says. But does it really make sense? Does 5 feet work? According to Dan, there's not much other reason besides the current neighbors and they don't have any problem with it. So I think it makes sense to go back at a certain point to see, is 10 feet still adequate? But for this case in point, I am going with what he is telling me and I am going with practicality.

Mr. Girbino stated, I don't think the argument has been made though. In the paperwork submitted, it said that the lot was unusual or irregular, but on Metro Park Drive, there are roughly 20 lots of the same configuration and of the same dimension. The majority of the lots on that street are that dimension.

Mr. Murphy asked, are there any sheds on your street that are closer than 10 feet to that sidewall?

Mr. Liberatore replied, both of my neighbors.

Mr. Murphy stated, both of your neighbors. Did they come before the Board of Zoning Appeals? Probably not. Because they would not have allowed a shed to be put up closer than 10 feet. Here you are doing the right thing requesting permission and then getting hosed around.

Mr. Arndt stated, I agree with that point. It's been on the books since 1993. It hasn't been looked at. I understand what Mr. Girbino is saying, but I don't see a problem with going back and looking at it.

Mayor Bodnar stated, if I could say something just for the record. To respond to what Denny said, I don't think it's fair to characterize the BZA decision as saying that they upheld 10 feet because our Code says 10 feet. Our Code permits variances. I think what they did is apply the several factors that would help them decide whether there should be a variance or not and then they made their decision based on those factors. To say that our Code says 10 feet and they applied 10 feet, I don't think that is correct.

Mr. Murphy stated, I appreciate that. I don't know exactly, I guess it was on the factors, which are probably correct.

Mr. Liberatore stated, I am just before you to exercise due process.

Ms. Calta stated, Mr. President, if I can comment on one thing?

Council President Schutt replied, sure.

Ms. Calta stated, as the Mayor said, the BZA looks at variances. They are there to look at exceptions to the Code. One thing that we do track is that when we start seeing similar variance requests, so if we would see hypothetically repeated and recurring requests for relief from a setback or from whatever the Code requirement is, that's often a signal to say it's hard to comply and we should take a look at this. We have done that in the past. This has never hit my radar screen to say that it's something that is difficult to comply with. What we have studied *ad nauseum* is accessory uses in rear yards. We have updated that because we had a very detailed prior Code on accessory uses and limitations on the size of each structure, things like that. So that whole accessory section has been streamlined. I think that's one of the reasons why there was a thought that there was a second variance request needed here, but it wasn't in fact needed. Just so you know, we do track those sort of things, Denny. Again, it's never hit my radar screen that we are seeing a lot of requests for sideyards setbacks, which tells me most people can comply. Now, I am not naïve enough to say that there are people that do things without obtaining permits. Oftentimes we can't track it, but there are requirements and we ask people to follow them.

Council President Schutt stated, okay. So at this point in time, are there any other comments from Council on the motion for reversal of the BZA decision?

There were none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Schutt, Arndt, Juncisek Motion Failed
 Murphy
 NAYS: Girbino, Marquardt
 ABSENT: Gall

Ms. Calta stated, so we do not have 5 votes. So that did not pass either.

Council President Schutt so at this point in time as Ms. Calta mentioned, we needed 5 votes from Council. We only have 4. If you would like to move forward, you would have to go back to BZA. I would suggest you modify. Based on some of the Minutes from that meeting, not saying they would approve it but maybe try to move it over 2 feet, align it with the edge of your home and then come back for a variance. That's the only guidance I can provide at this point in time. It's certainly your call if you want to go back to BZA and how you would want to go back.

Mr. Liberatore stated, I certainly appreciate your time and consideration.

Council President Schutt stated, absolutely. So then for the record, we had the motion to reverse the BZA decision. It was denied by a count of 4-2 on Council.

- **First Reading of Ordinance No. 2026-11**, entitled, "An emergency ordinance authorizing and directing the Mayor and President of Council to grant a permanent stormwater easement over the property located at 1003 and 1006 Woodlane Drive to the Northeast Regional Sewer District." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to suspend Ordinance 2026-11.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Ordinance Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek made a motion to pass Ordinance 2026-11.

Council President Schutt asked, any discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Ordinance Enacted

Council President Schutt stated, Ordinance 2026-11 passes.

- **First Reading of Ordinance No. 2026-12**, entitled, “An emergency ordinance adopting a 12-month temporary moratorium on the approval, issuance and processing of any applications for and/or approvals of any rezoning, variances, conditional use permits, zoning permits, building permits, certificates of occupancy or other permits or licenses for any building structure, use, or change in use for any data centers in Mayfield Village, Ohio.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to suspend Ordinance 2026-12.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Ordinance Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek made a motion to pass Ordinance 2026-12.

Council President Schutt asked, any discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: Girbino, Juncisek, Marquardt, Murphy, Schutt	Motion Carries Ordinance Enacted
NAYS: Arndt	

Council President Schutt stated, Ordinance 2026-12 passes.

- **First Reading of Ordinance No. 2026-13**, entitled, “An emergency ordinance accepting the deed of the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for the property located at 1003 Woodlane Drive, Mayfield Village, Ohio.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to suspend Ordinance 2026-06.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Ordinance Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to pass Ordinance 2026-06.

Council President Schutt asked, any discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Ordinance Enacted

Council President Schutt stated, Ordinance 2026-13 passes.

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-31**, entitled, “An emergency resolution authorizing and directing the Mayor to file an application with Cuyahoga County for financial assistance through the supplemental grant program.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to suspend Resolution No. 2026-31.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to pass Resolution No. 2026-31.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Passes

Council President Schutt stated, Resolution 2026-31 passes.

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-32**, entitled, “An emergency resolution authorizing Mayfield Village to enter into separate agreements with Integrity Cleaning Group LLC for janitorial services for the Mayfield Village Civic Center and Community Room.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to suspend Resolution No. 2026-32.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to pass Resolution No. 2026-32.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Passes

Council President Schutt stated, Resolution 2026-32 passes.

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-33**, entitled, “An emergency resolution adopting Alternative Tax Budget information for Mayfield Village, Ohio for fiscal year 2027.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to suspend Resolution No. 2026-33.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to pass Resolution No. 2026-33.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Passes

Council President Schutt stated, Resolution 2026-33 passes.

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-34**, entitled, “An emergency resolution authorizing Mayfield Village to expend funds in an amount not to exceed \$195,000 to Wichert Insurance for the Village’s property and liability insurance renewal.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to suspend Resolution No. 2026-34.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to pass Resolution No. 2026-34.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Passes

Council President Schutt stated, Resolution 2026-34 passes.

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-35**, entitled, “An emergency resolution authorizing Mayfield Village to enter into the School Resource Officer Memorandum of Understanding with Mayfield City Schools.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to suspend Resolution No. 2026-35.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to pass Resolution No. 2026-35.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Passes

Council President Schutt stated, Resolution 2026-35 passes.

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-36**, entitled, “An emergency resolution authorizing the purchase of two 2026 Chevrolet Tahoes with emergency lighting upfit packages and accessories from Tim Lally Chevrolet in the total amount of \$153,665.00.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to suspend Resolution No. 2026-36.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to pass Resolution No. 2026-36.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

Mr. Arndt stated, Chief, I have a question. Is this ticket money that's being utilized for these Chevrolet Tahoes?

Ms. Rich replied, yes, it's the photo enforcement that will be paying for this.

Mr. Arndt stated, okay.

Council President Schutt asked, on these Tahoes, these are patrol vehicles?

Chief Matias replied, yes.

Council President Schutt stated, I guess my only thing too is with the average price being a little over \$75,000, I am glad that we are using photo enforcement money, but did we look at other options as well for other vehicles? I know that the Charger is now electric and by no means am I proposing electric vehicles, but like a Durango or Ford Explorer or things of that nature, that might not be as hefty of a price as the Chevy Tahoe is.

Chief Matias stated, right. The recent purchases we have had have been the Durangos. The Durangos are about \$9,000 less through the State bid price, but just doing the research on the vehicles, the Tahoes have become kind of the workforce for patrol vehicles. Nobody really makes cars anymore. Everything's on the SUV platform. We think with the information we got that the Tahoes are going to be more durable for us. There will be less maintenance costs. They have fuel management systems so hopefully that will save us on some gas as they are idling. They will last a little bit longer. That's kind of the long term. Again, we have had the Durangos but comparing the other agencies that are using the Tahoes, we just think they are a better vehicle in the long term.

Mr. Girbino asked, Chief, you currently drive a Tahoe, correct?

Chief Matias replied, yes. I bought a Tahoe to replace the Chief's car when I took over in 2019. All we have had to do is replace the brakes on it. It's been very reliable.

Mr. Girbino asked, can you estimate how many more miles you might get out of a Tahoe as opposed to a Durango based on your personal experience?

Chief Matias replied, we turn the vehicles over every 3 to 4 years. We are hoping to get another year or two out of them realistically. One of the problems, Council President Schutt, is that pre-COVID the Tahoe I bought from Tim Lally back in 2019 was about \$34,000. The State bid used to be very competitive. Now it's not. There's not that much difference between the State bid price. For another \$20,000 we could get a cybertruck.

Council President Schutt stated, that's all right. We'll stick with the Tahoe. On your comments though I agree that the Tahoe is a higher quality vehicle than the Durango for sure. If the difference between the Tahoe and the Durango is only \$7,000 or \$8,000 and you are going to get another year out of the Tahoe, then it makes a lot of sense to me. It was just sticker shock to see \$153,000.

Chief Matias stated, I had sticker shock too. We tried to come up with a strategy to see if there were any other options but there weren't. Remember those cars are running 24/7 and our officers are spending 12 hour shifts in them. We want to make sure they have good equipment.

Council President Schutt stated, absolutely. Any other discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Passes

Council President Schutt stated, Resolution 2026-36 passes.

- **First Reading of Resolution No. 2026-37**, entitled, "An emergency resolution amending Resolution No. 2025-16 adopted March 18, 2025, to increase the annual expenditure to TEC Communications, Inc. for support and maintenance of the Village's phone system in Year 1 from a not to exceed amount of \$22,800.00 to \$24,900.00 and for Years 2 and 3 from a not to exceed amount of \$22,800 to \$26,000." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to suspend Resolution No. 2026-37.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to pass Resolution No. 2026-37.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Resolution Passes

Council President Schutt stated, Resolution 2026-37 passes.

- **Motion to approve 2026 Economic Development Incentive grant payment to Mars Electric in the amount of \$50,918.62 (Administration)**

Mrs. Juncisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to approve 2026 Economic Development Incentive grant payment to Mars Electric in the amount of \$50,918.62 (Administration)

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All
NAYS: None

Motion Carried
Expenditure Approved

- **Motion to renew IT services and include email encryption and managed endpoint detection and response IT services and support, with All Covered/Konica Minolta from July 2026 through July 2027 in an amount not to exceed \$34,000.00. (Administration)**

Mrs. Juncisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to renew IT services and include email encryption and managed endpoint detection and response IT services and support, with All Covered/Konica Minolta from July 2026 through July 2027 in an amount not to exceed \$34,000.00. (Administration)

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All
NAYS: None

Motion Carried
IT Services Renewed
Expenditure Approved

- **Motion to acknowledge receipt of financial reports for May 2026 and to approve of same as submitted. (Finance Department)**

Mrs. Juncisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to acknowledge receipt of financial reports for May 2026 and to approve of same as submitted. (Finance Department)

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All
NAYS: None

Motion Carried
Financial Reports for May 2026
Acknowledged and Approved

- **Motion to declare the following vehicles as surplus to sell on GovDeals:**
 - **MVPD Unit 4613: 2023 Dodge Charger – VIN 2C3CDXKG4PH537291 – 78,228 miles**
 - **MVPD Unit 4608: 2020 Dodge Charger – VIN 2C3CDXAG5LH114053 – 71,195 miles**

Mrs. Juncisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to declare the following vehicles as surplus to sell on GovDeals:

- MVPD Unit 4613: 2023 Dodge Charger – VIN 2C3CDXKG4PH537291 – 78,228 miles
- MVPD Unit 4608: 2020 Dodge Charger – VIN 2C3CDXAG5LH114053 – 71,195 miles

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All
NAYS: None

Motion Carried
Listed Vehicles Declared Surplus

- **Executive Session**
 - **Motion to enter into Executive Session pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 121.22(G)(1) to consider the compensation of a public employee and pursuant to Ohio Revised Code 121.22(G)(3) to confer with legal counsel for the public body concerning disputes involving the public body that are the subject of pending or imminent court action**

Mrs. Juncisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to enter into Executive Session pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 121.22(G)(1) to consider the compensation of a public employee and pursuant to Ohio Revised Code 121.22(G)(3) to confer with legal counsel for the public body concerning disputes involving the public body that are the subject of pending or imminent court action

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All
NAYS: None

Motion Carried

Council President Schutt stated, Council will go into Executive Session. It is 8:14 p.m. When we reconvene, we will have one additional agenda item. I would like to invite the following individuals to Executive Session: Mayor Bodnar, Ms. Calta, Ms. Wolgamuth and Mrs. Betsa.

Council returned at 9:03 p.m.

- **Motion to reconvene into Regular Session.**

Mrs. Juncisek, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to reconvene into Regular Session.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carried
NAYS: None	

- **Motion to amend Ordinance No. 2026-14 to change the title of “Information Technology Coordinator” to “Information Technology (IT) Manager”.**

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to amend Ordinance No. 2026-14 to change the title of “Information Technology Coordinator” to “Information Technology (IT) Manager”.

Council President Schutt asked, discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carried
NAYS: None	

- **First Reading of Ordinance No. 2026-14**, entitled, “An emergency ordinance amending Exhibit 1 to Mayfield Village Ordinance 2026-03 to adjust the hiring range of the position of “Information Technology (IT) Manager” within the Administration.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Requires suspension of the rules tonight and upon passage this legislation will take effect immediately upon signature of the Mayor.)

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek, made a motion to suspend Ordinance 2026-14.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Ordinance Suspended

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mrs. Juncisek made a motion to pass Ordinance 2026-14.

Council President Schutt asked, any discussion?

There was none.

ROLL CALL: AYES: All	Motion Carries
NAYS: None	Ordinance Enacted

Council President Schutt stated, Ordinance 2026-14 passes.

ANY OTHER MATTERS

Council President Schutt asked, are there any other matters to come before Council this evening?

There were none.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Murphy, seconded by Mr. Girbino, made a motion to adjourn.

The meeting adjourned at 9:07 p.m. The next Regular meeting of Council will be on Monday, July 20th at 7:00 p.m. in Civic Hall at the Mayfield Village Civic Center. ***

***Caucus and Council for July have been canceled. The next Regular Meeting of Council is scheduled for August 17, 2026