

**MINUTES OF A COUNCIL CAUCUS**  
**Mayfield Village Reserve Hall - Mayfield Village Civic Center**  
**Monday, November 7, 2022**

The Council of Mayfield Village met in Caucus Session on Monday, November 7, 2022. Council President Schutt called the meeting to order at 7:02 p.m.

ROLL CALL: Present: Mr. Arndt, Mrs. Jurcisek, Mr. Marquardt, Mr. Meyers,  
Mr. Murphy, Mr. Schutt and Mr. Williams

Also Present: Mayor Bodnar, Mr. Wynne, Ms. Calta,  
Mr. Cappello, Chief Carcioppolo, Chief Matias,  
Mr. Marquart, Mr. McAviney, Mr. Russell,  
Mr. Sipos, Ms. Wolgamuth and Mrs. Betsa

Council President Schutt stated, I know the Council President's Comments portion isn't until later, but I just wanted to mention that because we are in this room, if everyone can please speak up a little bit louder so everyone in the audience can hear them.

**PENDING LEGISLATION**

- **Ordinance No. 2022-22**, entitled, "An Ordinance amending Mayfield Village Codified Ordinance Chapter 1105 Board of Appeals." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (First Reading – October 17, 2022)
- **Ordinance No. 2022-23**, entitled, "An Ordinance adopting Mayfield Village Codified Ordinance Chapter 122, appeals of the decisions of the Board of Zoning Appeals to Council." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (First Reading – October 17, 2022)
- **Ordinance No. 2022-24**, entitled, "An Ordinance adopting new Mayfield Village Codified Ordinance Chapter 907, ditch enclosures." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (First Reading – October 17, 2022)
- **Ordinance No. 2022-25**, entitled, "An Ordinance appropriating funds for current expenses and expenditures of Mayfield Village, Ohio for the period from January 1, 2022 and ending December 31, 2022 and declaring an emergency." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Finance Department) (Read in title only – October 17, 2022)
- **Ordinance No. 2022-26**, entitled, "An Ordinance appropriating funds for current expenses and expenditures of Mayfield Village, Ohio for the period from January 1, 2023 and ending March 31, 2023 and declaring an emergency." Introduced by Mayor Bodnar. (Finance Department) (Read in title only – October 17, 2022)

- **Resolution No. 2022-44**, entitled, “A resolution requesting the Cuyahoga County Fiscal Officer to adjust the amended official certificate of estimated resources for 2022.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar (Finance Department) (Read in title only – October 17, 2022)
- **Resolution No. 2022-45**, entitled, “A resolution requesting the Cuyahoga County Fiscal Officer to advance from the proceeds of tax levies for the collection year 2023.” Introduced by Mayor Bodnar (Finance Department) (Read in title only – October 17, 2022)

Council President Schutt asked, any discussion on any of these pieces of legislation?

Ordinance Nos. 2022-22 through 2022-26 and Resolutions 2022-44 and 2022-45 will move to Second Read at the Regular Meeting of Council on Monday, November 21, 2022.

**MAYOR**

Thank you, Council President. I just wanted to congratulate the Garden Club on a very successful craft show this past Saturday. For anyone who went, it was a lot of fun and they had some wonderful things there. I would also like to echo in advance Council President’s statement because I know you are going to encourage people to vote tomorrow.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you Mayor Bodnar.

**COUNCIL PRESIDENT**

Happy Belated Birthday to John Marquart. His birthday was November 2<sup>nd</sup>. Happy early Birthday to Councilman George Williams. His birthday is November 24<sup>th</sup>.

As Mayor Bodnar mentioned, please remember to vote tomorrow. The polls are open from 6:30 a.m. to 7:30 p.m.

The Regular Meeting of Council will be held on Monday, November 21, 2022 at 7:00 p.m. in Civic Hall.

**NEW BUSINESS FOR DISCUSSION**

- 1) **Reforestation of Riparian Habitat at Bonnieview and Beech Hill Roads (Administration)**

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, thank you, Council President. This is nothing more than the extension of an Agreement that we signed with Chagrin River Watershed Partners in August 2021. This is for the reforestation at Bonnieview and Beech Hill. That project has been completed but they had

five different cities they were working with and apparently all the other projects aren't done. So the Grant Agreement has been extended through 2025. They asked that we sign the Amendment that was included in your packet. It's just an extension for us to be able to continue to provide reporting to Chagrin River Watershed Partners.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions?

Mrs. Juncisek stated, just a comment. I just think it's nice that they are putting signage there too.

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, I have not seen the signage yet, but they are going to do that over the winter.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Ms. Wolgamuth.

**2) Purchase of Dash Cameras (5) (5-year contract with Axon Enterprises - \$62,400.00)  
(Police Dept.)**

Chief Matias stated, the dash cameras we have now were purchased somewhere around 2014. They are old. Some of them are out of repair and we are not going to pay to fix them. It's time for us to get some new dash cameras. Axon is what we currently have for our body cams. It's the same type of program. It's a five-year contract where they basically provide all of the equipment, the storage of data and warranties if any of the equipment fails. By using Axon for our dash cameras and body cameras, they sync together. It will be nice to be able to review what happens for evidence. The technology for the dash cameras has really come a long way. The dash cameras now have the ability to read license plates and they can alert similar to like the Flock cameras we are getting. It also has geolocating and they can actually do live streaming as well. They are really good cameras and they will work very well with our body cameras.

Mr. Meyers asked, do the ones that we have have any value at all?

Chief Matias replied, no.

Mr. Meyers stated, I was just curious.

Chief Matias stated, we could take a look and see which ones are functional and we can put them on *Govdeals*, but the technology is just so far out.

Mr. Meyers stated, I was thinking about any of the other departments that could use something like that, if we could donate them or something.

Chief Matias stated, it would not even be worth the cost of installation.

Council President Schutt asked, any other questions or comments?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Chief Matias.

**3) Light pole replacement at Parkview Pool (A&M Electric - \$40,375.00) (Service)**

Mr. Sipos stated, thank you Council President. The poles in the North Commons area were purchased in 1999. They are starting to show their age. We identified 17 as the worst. They are starting to fall apart. We went out and started looking at the possibility of purchasing new poles which will be a very pricy project and something we didn't want to tackle with. So, behind Service, we happen to have 17 of these poles in storage. They are brand new, just sitting on dollies. We got two prices from two different companies. A&M came in the lowest at \$40,375. It's a big company. It's a small job. For a smaller company, it's a big job because you need a crane. We are going to provide the poles and they are going to do the work. We just want to replace the ones that are damaged by the elements. Any questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you Mr. Sipos.

**4) Ridgebury Blvd. Repaving – County 50/50 Funding Program (Resolution 2022-46) (Engineer)**

Mr. Cappello stated, we applied for funding from the County under their 50/50 program. They pay 50% of construction, we pay 50% of construction. We applied for the Ridgebury Blvd. project within the Village limits. This is the north side of Ridgebury and Lander east to Orchard Heights Road. We are only going to be able to do half the road. Mayfield Heights did not want to participate. We are going to do curb repair and replacement and resurfacing.

Council President Schutt asked, are there any questions for Mr. Cappello?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you Mr. Cappello.

**5) Updated new vehicle purchases - adjustment to previous purchase of Dodge Chargers (Resolution 2022-10 passed 2/21/22-\$211,128.00; overage \$14,285; total amount \$225,413 – Greve Chrysler - State bid) (Police Dept.)**

Chief Matias stated, thank you. Basically the overage is for three of the cars that are going to be 2023's. We ordered four 2022 Dodge Chargers and Chrysler ended up cancelling the entire order. They are not going to manufacture them. We were able to grab one of the 2022's from another order from another department. I am not sure how that worked out but we were just able to do it. So the other three vehicles we are purchasing are now going to be 2023 Chargers. The

overage you are looking at is just an increase in cost of the vehicles and some of the equipment. We hope to get them. I don't know when they are going to come.

This is happening with all of the manufacturers. Several of the departments ordered Ford Explorers and those got cancelled as well. It's just the auto industry is kind of a mess right now. We are just going to keep at it. Hopefully we will get our one pretty soon and then the other three, we are hopeful we get, period. So, that's what the overage is for. It's an increase in price for the 2023 vehicles.

Mr. Murphy asked, so is that the overage of the three 2023's?

Chief Matias replied, yes. They broke down the order for the one 2022 and then the three 2023's.

Council President Schutt asked, any other questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you Chief Matias.

**6) Renewal Agreement with Everstream for Village fiber (year 5 of 5 year agreement - \$18,996) (Finance Dept.)**

Mr. Wynne stated, we are currently in year five of our five-year agreement. Jeff Thomas and I met with Everstream and they gave us a proposal for a three-year contract at the same pricing \$18,996 per year for three years. They also doubled the bandwidth that we currently have available to us. This is just to renew that Agreement for another three years.

Council President Schutt asked, any questions for Mr. Wynne?

Mr. Murphy asked, did I hear same price and double the bandwidth?

Mr. Wynne replied, yes. They are going to go from 50 to 100 on the bandwidth.

Mr. Murphy stated, thank you.

Council President Schutt asked, any other questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you Mr. Wynne.

**7) Incentive Agreement payouts to Mars and Wireless Environment (Finance Dept.)**

Mr. Marquart stated, thank you, Council President. As you may remember back in the Spring which is when we typically review the Incentive Agreements each year, Director Wynne suggested that we wait until November which would be past the time that employees who work here typically but have been working from home are eligible to file for refunds. The dust has now settled on that so we are here to look at two Agreements, Mars Electric and Wireless Environment. For these two, we will need to convene the M.C.I.C. on the night of the Regular Council meeting in two weeks and take action ahead of time. Fortunately, it looks like the refund for both of these company's employees were very small, in the range of about \$2,000 for tax year 2021. That said, tax collections for Mars Electric totaled \$175,009.91. Per the terms of their Agreement, they are entitled to a 30% rebate which totals \$52,502.97. This is the fifth and final year for Mars. The next one is Wireless Environment, formerly Mr. Beams. Likewise, refunds were fairly low. Village tax collections were \$91,032.94. They are likewise entitled to a 30% rebate which totals \$27,309.88. This is also the fifth and final year for their Agreement. I will be happy to take any questions.

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you Mr. Marquart.

**8) Designation of legislative authority for Volunteer Fire Dependent's Fund Board (Council)**

Chief Carcioppolo stated, every year the Volunteer Firefighter Dependent's Fund Board has to meet. That Board approves the payment of an assessment by the Village to the State. This takes care of all of our part-time firefighters who are not covered under the Ohio Police and Fire Pension to have access to a death or disability benefit if they were to be injured in the line of duty. It also gives us the ability to apply for State Fire Marshall grants which we have received in the past for different things. They covered our radio fees. Every year Council has to appoint two delegates for the Board. Again, we hold an annual meeting to comply with the State's rules.

If it's okay with you Council President, I have one other comment.

Council President Schutt stated, sure.

Chief Carcioppolo stated, we met with the Civil Service Commission to take part in the next Regional Firefighter/Paramedic Entrance Examination because our current list is expiring sometime this month. That test won't be given until October of next year, but in order to start the planning process and get involved with that we had to jump on board with the eight other communities that are doing it now to prepare for that. The Civil Service Commission approved the participation. We will be a part of the October examination so we can maintain an eligibility list.

Chief Carcioppolo asked, are there any other questions?

There were none.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you Chief Carcioppolo.

#### **9) Council Procedures (Open Portion)**

Ms. Calta stated, there were some questions about public comments. There were a lot public comments at the last Council meeting. Unfortunately, a lot of the speakers were not used to the process and so we had different conversations going on.

A couple of things, we have Code sections already about written communications to Council and oral communications. I will go over those in a second. But the thought is if everyone wants to, we can come up with a statement, Steve, that you could read when we have a crowd like that. It gives a little bit more direction to those who are speaking. They will understand they can't speak over each other and things need to be directed to Council as a whole. A draft was circulated to Council which is just an idea. It doesn't have to be used, but it can help. If someone's going to be out of order, they are going to be out of order. I think the questions that sometimes come up is, can you have somebody that is really out of order trying to speak to Council removed from the room? That gets into a very odd area of the law. My recommendation is if anything like that would ever happen, we have the Police Chief or someone from the Police Department present, we would probably want to just adjourn our meeting, have everything settle down and then we can reconvene on a different night instead of having someone dragged out of the room. That is a really extreme situation. I have never had it happen. You sometimes see it on the news and sometimes we get to read about the lawsuits that come after and what the outcomes are. If anyone wants to hear more about that, we can meet for coffee and I can talk about it or I can send you some of the cases.

Let me go back to what we have in our Code right now. We have written communications. I think we had some of those that came in. Anybody can address Council by written communication on any matter of Village business by addressing such communication to the President of Council and then the communication shall be read by the Clerk of Council as directed by Council. Either you can read the whole communication or you can just note in the Minutes that the communication has been received, it's been provided to Council and it will be noted in the Minutes.

As far as those appearing and speaking before Council, our Code reads, "Any person may address Council in any matter of Village business at the proper time provided in the agenda by rising and securing permission of the presiding officer." That's you, the President of Council. "Said person shall state in an audible tone and voice for the record his name and address and the name and address of the person or group he may represent if other than himself. Unless further time is granted by the presiding officer, Council shall limit his address to five minutes" which is what we have on the agenda and what we have done.

A couple of things – it says “all remarks shall be addressed to Council as a body and not to any particular member thereof. No person other than the Councilmen and the person having the floor shall be permitted to enter into any discussion either directly or through a member of Council without the permission of the presiding officer. No questions shall be asked to Councilmen except through the presiding officer.” So everything is supposed to flow through the President of Council.

I think that one of the best ways in my experience to handle public comment is to have it just be public comment because if you get into a discussion of question and answer, it becomes oftentimes a debate. Two things to think about: how you want to handle that, you can certainly say that if there’s a question, we can take it under advisement and get back to you, something along those lines, put that in the remarks where if you have a specific question of Council, those can be put in writing and e-mailed to the Clerk. So this way we don’t get into a debate. It’s not that you don’t want to have public input, but Council meetings have followed this for a very long time.

The City of Cleveland did not have public comment at their Council meetings. They have changed that recently. But the point being, you don’t have to have public comments at a Council meeting. I remember learning about that way back when and it didn’t seem to make a lot of sense to me when you have a public meeting but then you don’t have public comment. But on the other hand, there’s a lot of business that Council has to conduct and in some respects, it’s like a business meeting, right? You are going through a lot of detailed information and you are listing the financial reports. You are authorizing a significant amount of money. So I think when people come in and want to talk to Council, they have to understand that it is actually a business meeting and they can certainly make comment; it certainly will be listened to, but it’s not going to be a debate back and forth. It shouldn’t have anybody get out of order. But if there are questions, we can get back to them with any answers that would be appropriate.

The other part is how you want to structure the public comment because oftentimes it’s what’s on the agenda. Someone comes in and speaks to something that’s on the agenda versus coming in and speaking about a road that’s falling apart and might not be on the agenda for repair. Were you here when we had a Council meeting where someone came in and they weren’t complaining, but during public comment they were complaining about their road and it had not been repaired in a while and so they brought in pieces of the road and the edges that were falling apart and passed it around? Does anyone remember that? Mary Beth?

Mrs. Betsa replied, yes.

So I think you can limit it to just business on the agenda. I don’t know that Mayfield Village has looked at public comment like that but it’s another thing to think about if you just want to limit public comment to what’s on the agenda. Not a legal call on my part. Just for Council to think about. I am open to questions.

Chief Carcioppolo stated, I have a question if I am allowed to ask. You brought up the agenda thing which I think kind of makes sense because it is a business meeting. So if I understood what



you said correctly, you could say that the public portion is only open to what's on the agenda, is that correct?

Ms. Calta replied, correct.

Chief Carcioppolo stated, so if someone is bringing in pieces of a road that's not on the agenda, it could be dealt with another way. They can send an e-mail to the Service Director. And someone can address it back to them.

Ms. Calta replied, correct.

Chief Carcioppolo stated, instead of taking up everyone's valuable time to vet. The other question I had and this goes back to the last meeting. This is just for my own edification. Is public comment only open to the people that reside in that legislative area? Does that make sense what I am asking? Can I go to Cleveland and say, I live in Geauga County and I am kind of upset that your garbage pick-up's on the wrong day? You know what I'm saying?

Ms. Calta replied, I have never seen anyone limit public comment to only residents or someone that has maybe a business in the community, however, I think if you go to Cleveland and you complain about their garbage pick-up being on the wrong day, I don't know if your comments are going to have much validity. I think most times you will see interested parties. They don't necessarily have to live in the community, but interested parties.

Chief Carcioppolo stated, like a school issue that's multi-community.

Ms. Calta replied, sure.

Chief Carcioppolo stated, okay. I didn't know if it was only limited to the voting base or the residents of that community.

Ms. Calta replied, it's not limited in any Code I have ever seen; however, I think you are just going to see more residents take the time to come in to a Council meeting if it impacts a larger group like a school. So it's not limited.

Chief Carcioppolo stated, all right. Thanks.

Ms. Calta stated, one of the reasons that you ask someone's name and address is because you want to be able to communicate with them and contact them. Some communities I have worked in, they actually have a sign-in sheet and you sign your name and you put your contact information there along with your e-mail. So if there are questions that need to be responded to, you have that information. Here I don't know that we need to be that formal with the sign-in sheet. I think everyone's been good with stating your name and your address. You can certainly ask for e-mails if you want to get back to people by e-mail or phone numbers. You can get that off-line. You don't have to put it into the Minutes.

Mr. Meyers asked, it's the Council President's decision though, right, being that he's in charge of the meeting?

Ms. Calta replied, correct.

Mr. Meyers asked, so he's the one that says yes or no, we want to hear it or we don't want to hear it, basically?

Ms. Calta replied, right.

Chief Carcioppolo stated, it would help with the Minutes because I know when there's people yelling from the crowd saying a bunch of stuff, that information's being relayed, but you don't know who said it and it doesn't necessarily make it into the Minutes.

Ms. Calta replied, right. Also, if you do have a public comment period limited to 5 minutes, you can be flexible with that. You could always grant additional time. But if someone wanted to come in here and they wanted to criticize anyone of us until the cows come home, they can do that. Once you open it up, you do open it up. It's one thing to say something that's false and defamatory, but it's another thing to get up and state your opinion that they might not like what's going on. You can't shut them down just because you don't like what they're saying.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, in my opinion, I think it's okay that we have it open and not necessarily to agenda items. Just restrict it to time. In the past, we have had a lot of positive things that people have said and they come up to reflect that I think are great. I think that's our position on Council. We have to hear the good and the bad. I would say the good outweighs the bad. It reminds me of the gentleman who owns Gary Ann's Salon and was in here who made a comment after Cruise Night. He just wanted to comment as far as the timing of Cruise Night. It wasn't necessarily on the agenda, but I think it was important to hear from a business in the Village. We get the good and the bad with it.

Mayor Bodnar stated, I think this is ultimately up to Council. It's not my decision, but I agree with Jen. Historically, we have allowed comment and we have been open about it and sometimes we don't like it or we disagree with it, but my position is I think it's good to let it in, but I would certainly ask the people to limit their time and refrain from arguing from the audience so no one can understand what's going on and Mary Beth can't hear. I wouldn't necessarily limit it to items on the agenda.

Council President Schutt stated, I agree.

Mr. Murphy stated, I agree too. I wouldn't just limit it to the agenda.

Ms. Calta stated, we did have some people who kind of popped back up and sat down and popped back up again. Sometimes we see, for example at the last meeting, where there's a group of people who show up and they have a spokesperson and they want their time. People could come in and say, I've got 5 minutes, but I want to give my 5 minutes to so and so and they ask

for more time. But when people start to get repetitive and make the same comments that can also just not be an efficient use of anybody's time. I tried to put in here some additional language that says, not repeating comments that have already been made and once you've made your comments, allow others to have their time to make their comments so you are not constantly popping back up. Sometimes you do see that where someone's like, oh, I forgot to mention something, can I say one more thing? That's going to be up to you to grant. You are going to err on the side of probably allowing someone to have more time. You can certainly say, we would like to get through everyone. We have a meeting. We have a full agenda. If you have any additional comments, please feel free to e-mail them to the clerk so this way they can still feel that they have a way to make those comments but not take up additional time within the one more thing, one more thing.

Council President Schutt stated, that makes sense. Any other questions or comments on Council procedures for Ms. Calta?

Mr. Williams stated, I am glad we had this from the standpoint of clarity and expectations for the person speaking, are they looking for an answer or not? I think there should be a level of expectation. And for Council. Council who should be responsive. We have heard that today that it should be the role of Council President. That's all I was looking for. If it's ten people or one person, then we need to set the expectations for the process that we all understand and can share it with our constituents. Thanks for bringing this up on the agenda.

Ms. Calta stated, I was at a Planning Commission meeting in another community last week. Right at the podium they actually have the instructions there taped on so people saw it.

Mr. Williams stated, good idea.

Ms. Calta stated, I like this one better than what they said. You can use this as an example. It does set the expectations. You have people who come in all the time and make comments and get the lay of the land of the rules, but then when you have someone who hasn't been here before, they are learning a lot about civics that they might have gotten from 10<sup>th</sup> grade.

Mr. Williams stated, thank you.

Mr. Meyers stated, transparency. How do we get past that so that people understand that when they ask for something, they can get an answer rather than ring around the rosey? Mr. Meyers stated here's one example right here. On October 2<sup>nd</sup>, I asked for the timesheets for the Grove and I still don't have them. I got dates. I got numbers, but I never got the timesheets. I am just curious why you can't get something when you ask for it. Because it's a guesstimate of what I have in my hand. I never got the end. Who do we ask or do we have to request a public records check every time we ask a question? We never get an answer. That's why I'm bringing it up because in a last few weeks there's been things that have been asked for that have never been produced. I am just curious why when everybody keeps saying we are so transparent.

Ms. Calta asked, do you want me to respond to that? A couple of things. In the world of public records, those are requests for records, not questions and answers. I only make that distinction because a lot of times you will see public records requests that come in and then mixed into there is a question and the way that the law is in transparency, it's about records, so you can request records. It doesn't go as far as saying you can ask a laundry list of questions. Having said that, as far as Council members and public records requests, you can certainly make a public records request just like anybody can make a public records request. However, I have always, and I had a conversation with Mark recently about it, so long as Council members don't abuse making records requests because I have been in communities where Council members incessantly make public records requests to the point of keeping employees busy 24/7 responding to public records requests for not a really good reason if that makes any sense. I said, just let us know what you would like to see and if we have that record, because sometimes there are not responsive records. It all depends on how we maintain records. That might seem like a simple answer, but we have software that maintains certain documents and if you generate certain reports but we don't have limitless ways to generate data, right? And we don't have to create new data. Al, I'm not familiar with your request about timesheets.

Mr. Meyers asked, should it be done in a timely manner?

Ms. Calta replied, records requests are supposed to be responded to under the laws as a reasonable amount of time. Our records policy, I believe, says 8 business days. But some things do take longer and some things can be produced faster than that. It often depends on what you are looking for and what we have to look through to get you your information. For example, there was a review recently that required redaction of personal information. That takes some time to do. It doesn't happen overnight. Depending on the size of the file, it can take a while. We don't want to be releasing things that aren't public records that would have personal information, things that have medical information as an example. Again, I am not familiar with what you were looking for.

Mr. Meyers stated, it's a simple request.

Ms. Calta stated, we can certainly-

Mr. Meyers stated, it was something that was stated at a meeting and it was totally wrong and I wanted to show that it was totally wrong. I still don't have the records. All I asked for was timesheets for overtime. It was done on October 2<sup>nd</sup>.

Ms. Calta stated, I am not familiar with the request.

Mr. Meyers stated, it should have been a simple strike of a key to know what the overtime was, who they were and how many officers.

Ms. Calta stated, and it could be, but it might not be.

Mr. Meyers stated, that's why I am bringing it up.

Mayor Bodnar asked, Al, can you be more specific? Was this a request made at Caucus?

Mr. Meyers replied, it was a request to Diane Wolgamuth.

Ms. Wolgamuth replied, and Mr. Meyers, I responded to your e-mail, but I don't believe you requested timesheets.

Mr. Meyers stated, yes, I did. That's what you had right in the e-mail you sent me back.

Ms. Wolgamuth asked, what did I say?

Mr. Meyers replied, you said that the, "I asked Ron to pull the timesheets". That's what I asked you for. And below is a summary of the number of officers, but you never gave me the timesheets. I did ask for them and that's what I never got. And you gave me dates and numbers but never no proof as to what I asked for.

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, I don't remember that you asked for the timesheets. You wanted to know how many officers.

Mr. Meyers stated, right, how many officers worked on this day, how many officers worked on that day, overtime.

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, I don't think you asked for that.

Mr. Meyers stated, that's why I'm reading what you sent me back. It's not an argument. I am asking a question.

Ms. Calta asked, can I jump in for a second?

Mr. Wynne asked, so what? So that's spelled out for you in an e-mail in answer to your question and that's not sufficient documentation to you. You want the physical timesheet?

Mr. Meyers stated, what days they worked.

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, I don't have the e-mail in front of me but I think I laid out the different days with the Grove concerts and I told you how many officers worked each one. Did I give you their names?

Mr. Meyers replied, no.

Ms. Wolgamuth asked, did I just tell you how many?

Mr. Meyers replied, guesstimate. Because it says right on here, I wasn't sure what days they worked or who worked. Service came in late. I never got the answer. That's why I'm asking. I

wanted to know who worked and what day they worked and what event. That was the only question I had, just the dates of when they worked or who worked.

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, you asked about the dates. I don't think you asked for the timesheets.

Mr. Meyers stated, yes. Because without timesheets, I don't know who worked. You don't know who worked.

Ms. Calta asked, Al, can I jump in? Oftentimes with public records requests, there's some of that back and forth, right and clarification of what you asked for did you receive and if you received it do you still have another question? Are you looking for another record? As with any requestor, if you don't get what you are looking for, certainly follow up and say, I didn't get what I was looking for and then you can know whether or not the records actually exists, number one, and what other questions you might have that weren't answered as far as documents go. If you have questions, you know how to get your questions answered, I think. You can call Diane. You can call the Mayor. You can call me. So, just to make a distinction between the questions and the records request. And sometimes there will be records and you will have questions.

Mr. Meyers asked, who would we ask, the Mayor?

Mayor Bodnar asked, why didn't you follow up with Diane if you didn't get what you wanted?

Ms. Wolgamuth stated, Mr. Meyers, I really thought that I answered the question. I didn't hear back from you. I have not been waiting to send you anything more. I thought that was done.

Mr. Meyers stated, I never got the answers.

Ms. Calta stated, so maybe we can clarify. What are you looking for?

Mr. Meyers replied, timesheets.

Ms. Calta asked, timesheets for officers who worked overtime at the Grove, for what period of time?

Mr. Meyers replied, this year.

Mayor Bodnar stated, may I suggest that you put this in writing so everything is good next time?

Council President Schutt stated, that makes sense. So would you mind just putting it in an e-mail and sending it so then she knows exactly what you want?

Mr. Meyers replied, it's right here on this e-mail.

Council President Schutt stated, then I guess at that point then just reply back specifically stating what questions you had and what wasn't answered and hopefully that will resolve it. Are there any other Council procedures at this time? Thank you everyone for that.

### **ANY OTHER MATTER BEFORE COUNCIL**

Council President Schutt asked, are there any other matters to come before Council this evening?

Mrs. Betsa stated, yes, Council President, I have a couple of items. I will be attending on behalf of Mayfield Village and as the Mayor's designee the annual NOPEC meeting on November 15<sup>th</sup>. I will report back to Council following the meeting. Also, over the weekend, Shred Day was held. A big thanks to Laurie Hughes, Frank Agh, Mike Giallambardo and Susan. We had a total of 29 Village boxes and 227 resident boxes, totaling to 256. Our next Shred Day is May 20, 2023.

Council President Schutt stated, thank you, Mrs. Betsa. Are there any other matters to come before Council?

Mr. Meyers stated, one more. I never got Council Minutes from the last meeting. I requested them and never got them. They were not in the packet. I was just curious why no one got Council Minutes from the last meeting, other than being on-line.

Mrs. Jurcisek stated, they are on-line.

Mr. Meyers stated, yes, I requested them and never got them. They weren't put in packets. Nobody got them. I am just curious what happened to them. Just a question. Because they never got put in the packets this week. I called Thursday on the 27<sup>th</sup>, three days after the meeting and Mary said they weren't done yet. She said they would be done Monday and I said fine, when they are done can I get a copy? I never got anything. I am not blaming anybody, but I am just saying. I figured they would be in this week's packet. They are not in the packet either. They are not even on the list. I don't know if somebody forgot about them or just didn't put them in.

Mayor Bodnar asked, were you able to find them on-line?

Mr. Meyers replied, no. I don't go on-line. That's why I get my packet. I am just asking the question why they were not in packets.

Ms. Calta stated, Al, I'm sorry. I can't answer that.

Mr. Meyers stated, they were not on the list of what comes in the packet. That's why I am just bringing it up. I don't know if it was an oversight or there was something particular about the Minutes that they didn't get published.

Ms. Calta stated, it sounds like they did get published on-line and I am sure that they were extremely long in comparison to other Minutes.

Mrs. Betsa replied, the Minutes were available on Saturday, October 23<sup>rd</sup>. They were immediately placed on-line mainly for the reason I had received a couple of public records requests for them.

Council President Schutt asked, would you still like a hard copy?

Mr. Meyers replied, I would like a hard copy if that's possible.

Mrs. Betsa replied, we will make that possible.

Council President Schutt stated, sounds good. Thank you, Mrs. Betsa. Are there any other matters to come before Council?

There were none.

There being no further matters, the meeting concluded at 7:49 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary E. Betsa, MMC  
Clerk of Council